

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_125258
Title of the Manuscript:	The Interconnectedness of Earnings Management, Corporate Governance Failures, and Global Economic Stability: A Critical Examination of the Impact of Earnings Manipulation on Financial Crises and Investor Trust in Global Markets
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper which critically examines the interconnectedness of earnings management, corporate governance failures, and their impact on global economic stability and investor trust, focusing on the Enron (1993–1995) and Wirecard (2015–2019) scandals is considered important in the field of knowledge and its contribution towards economic. The results and findings of this study could be used for regulatory enforcement in safeguarding financial markets and upholding the investors' trusts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is too long. It is suggested the title to be changed to "A Critical Examination on the Impact of Earnings Manipulation on Financial Crisis in Global Markets."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Satisfactory abstract reflecting the overall content of the paper. However, it would be better if the abstract also include future potential study as an extension of this paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is acceptable. Moreover, the theory used behind this study is also provided and discussed could more quality paper.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research objectives are clear with appropriate research methods applied for this study. Findings of the study are thoroughly discussed and the scientific discussions of the results are considered robust and acceptable for publication purposes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and quite a number of recent articles were used and cited.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language met minimum quality for scholarly publications.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, this manuscript/paper is suggested for publication for AJEBA.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saunah Zainon
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia