

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_125596
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful Management of Rare Idiopathic Chylopericardium Mimicking as Pyopericardium in an Infant
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a rare and interesting paediatric patient, and the findings are important for the scientific community. This case report is beneficial to the paediatricians and physicians in case they encounter a similar patient with idiopathic pericardial effusion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Needs more facts in the Abstract. Now too short. "Mimicking" should not be the key word.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate. But better to add CXR to see pericardial effusion for the juniors.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Two common causes of chylothorax in adult is Filariasis and tuberculosis. In this patient, TB is ruled out by PCR but no investigation is done for filariasis. But all other investigations and management were completed, and the child showed good response. The colour of the aspirate is very much like pus. I have experienced a couple of patients with Chylothorax, and pleural fluid appearance is very much look like Pure milk. I still think about the possibility of pyopericardium in this patient.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	As above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zay Soe
Department, University & Country	Internal medicine, International Medical School, Management and Science University, Malaysia