

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_125432
Title of the Manuscript:	Cystic lesion of the liver causing an extreme elevation in carbohydrate antigen 19-9: Simple biliary cyst
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The subject of the article is very interesting. This case report helps avoid diagnostic pitfalls such as concluding malignancy based solely on very high CA19-9 levels. It serves as a valuable teaching case for future practitioners.</p> <p>This case study offers a valuable insight into the challenges of interpreting elevated CA19-9 levels. By sharing this experience, the authors highlight the importance of considering benign causes and avoiding a premature diagnosis of malignancy.</p> <p>This case report is a valuable teaching tool. It demonstrates how a high CA19-9 level does not always indicate cancer and highlights the importance of a thorough workup.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title needs to be rewritten to clearly convey the article's focus and engage the reader. A more captivating option could be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A simple biliary cyst causing an extreme elevation of CA 19-9: A case report - Extreme elevation of CA 19-9 linked to a simple biliary cyst: A case report - The pitfalls of elevated CA 19-9: A case of simple biliary cyst 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is well-written and provides a good summary of the article.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The article is well-structured and conforms to the IMRAD guidelines</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The case presentation is overly concise. The rationale for ordering the CA 19-9 test is not explained. A richer iconography would be beneficial, including post-operative imaging and histological images. The figure descriptions should be more detailed: for histological images, specify the magnification and provide a brief description; similarly, for radiological images. Some bibliographic references are missing.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>While recent and relevant, the references provided are insufficient. Here are some additional articles that may further the discussion</p> <p>Zhang Y, Wei Y, Cheng Y, Liu F, Wang H, Jing L. Laparoscopic S7 hepatectomy for hepatic mucinous neoplasm: a case report and literature review. BMC Gastroenterol. 2021 Dec 20;21(1):485. doi: 10.1186/s12876-021-02059-y. PMID: 34930130; PMCID: PMC8686604.</p> <p>Zhou X. Meta-analysis of the diagnostic performance of serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 for the detection of gallbladder cancer. Int J Biol Markers. 2022 Mar;37(1):81-89. doi: 10.1177/17246008211068866. Epub 2022 Jan 4. PMID: 34982019.</p> <p>Budkule DP, Desai GS, Pande P, Kulkarni DR. Infrequent intrahepatic cystic neoplasm: dilemmas in diagnosis and management. BMJ Case Rep. 2019 May 30;12(5):e229058. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2018-229058. PMID: 31151975; PMCID: PMC6557390.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and English quality of the article are entirely suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	A scientifically interesting article, ready for publication after minor revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tabouri Sarah
Department, University & Country	Djillali Liabes University of Sidi Bel Abbas, Algeria