

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_125598
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE DETECTION OF VIRUSES INFECTING CAPSICUM SPECIES IN THE NORTHERN ZONE OF CROSS RIVER STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is aimed towards problem solving , hence the research seems apt for the control in the yield losses due to virus in pepper	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>The title can be modified as "STUDIES ON THE DETECTION AND SPREAD OF VIRUSES INFECTING CAPSICUM SPECIES IN THE NORTHERN ZONE OF CROSS RIVER STATE</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>Yes, the abstract looks fine.</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>Yes, however, a short summary can be included in the manuscript.</u>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. <u>The manuscript is okay from a scientific purview, but seemingly short. More techniques could have been performed by the researcher, like initial detection by PCR, using the leaf sample, sequencing of the viral strain etc. It appears little light on the technical aspect as whole. However, ELISA results are appealing and adds weight to the manuscript.</u>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	<u>References looks fine</u>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is fine	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the author needs to work on the grammar of the manuscript. There are places where fullstops and commas are missing.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ananya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, India</b>