

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125390
Title of the Manuscript:	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM'S IMPLEMENTATION AND PRODUCTION OF GOODS IN SELECTED INDUSTRIES IN UGANDA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript presents valuable insights into the implementation of Environmental Management Systems (EMS) in industries in Uganda, a topic of growing importance in the context of global environmental sustainability efforts. It is especially significant as it focuses on the practical challenges and benefits of EMS in a developing country context, which is often underrepresented in the literature. I appreciate the manuscript for addressing both the positive impacts of EMS and the challenges industries face during implementation, offering a balanced perspective that can guide future improvements in EMS adoption in similar regions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Alternative title can be: Implementation of Pollution Control Environmental Management Systems in Selected Industries in Uganda</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a clear overview of the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings. However, it could benefit from the inclusion of more specific quantitative results and a brief mention of the key conclusions drawn from the data. Adding figures like the percentage of industries that experienced specific benefits would make the abstract better.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured, with clear divisions into introduction, methodology, results, and discussion sections. However, the discussion section could be expanded to relate the findings more explicitly to the existing literature, demonstrating how this study fills gaps or corroborates other research.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically sound and methodologically appropriate, using a mix of qualitative and quantitative approaches to gather and analyze data. However, there are a few areas where more detail is needed. For example, the multiple regression analysis in the results section would benefit from further explanation regarding the interpretation of coefficients. Furthermore, the study relies heavily on descriptive statistics, and the use of inferential statistics could be expanded to strengthen the findings. The challenges discussed are relevant but could be better supported by comparative examples from similar studies in other regions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Most of the references are from before 2022, and there is a lack of direct citation of more recent EMS implementation studies, particularly in the African context.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>A thorough proofreading is recommended to enhance readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For making the analysis more understandable, the authors can think of adding a graph/chart in the paper. The author should also add a flow chart of the research methodology used.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apurva Goel
Department, University & Country	Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, India