

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125816
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimizing Cookie Formulation Using a Composite Flour Blend of Soybean, Beetroot, and Wheat: Effects on Physicochemical and Phytonutrient Characteristics</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I did. The author agreed with the objectives however, the preparation of cookies need to be analyzed because better properties. I mean, the results of antioxidants are good for consumers but next thing is a shelf life study for more knowledge.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, It is.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I did. This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because the author showed all the results that were found at the end of the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author should add 10 references more.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, I did-	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marbe Alexandra Cardona</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Corporación Universitaria Lasallista, Colombia</b>