

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125816
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Cookie Formulation Using a Composite Flour Blend of Soybean, Beetroot, and Wheat: Effects on Physicochemical and Phytonutrient Characteristics
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study suggests that composite flour cookies enriched with nutritional and antioxidant properties can be developed by incorporating varying levels of beetroot and soy flour alongside wheat flour. The addition of beetroot and soy flour not only enhances the nutritional value of the cookies but also contributes to their antioxidant properties. This innovative approach could lead to the production of healthier and more functional baked goods for consumers seeking alternative options. Furthermore, the incorporation of beetroot and soy flour can also provide a unique flavor profile to the cookies, appealing to a wider range of taste preferences. Overall, this research opens up possibilities for creating more diverse and nutritious baked goods in the market.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Good	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	I re-wrote abstract and introduction	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	good	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References 8 and 9 have been removed from the text and have been removed from the reference list, so the reference numbers within the text must be taken into account based on the change in the reference list.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nice study	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eman Ibrahim El-Sayed Abdel-Wahab
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt