

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125696
Title of the Manuscript:	Empowering Women through Sericulture: Transforming defective Cocoons into Opportunities
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important as by involving women in this process, they are provided not only with sustainable livelihoods but also harness their creativity and resourcefulness, Defective cocoons can be repurposed for research and development leading to breakthroughs in materials science and biotechnology. This initiative promotes gender equality, fosters community resilience and drives scientific advancements	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes but key words should include the same words in the title. It should be Women's empowerment Sericulture, defective Cocoons, opportunities	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some sentences need to be rephrased. Some references are very old. Some paragraphs are very long and should be divided into 2 paragraphs or more. The introduction needs more stressing on and explaining of the importance of sericulture on woman empowerment and gender equity. On the third paragraph of introduction , list the name of the program	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by presenting well researched data on sericulture and transforming defective cocoons into byproducts. Also, the benefits of silk production on woman's livelihoods. Additionally, this paper effectively discusses the biological aspects of silk production including environmental consideration.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are very old and need to be updated.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs some editing	
Optional/General comments	It needs some modifications	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaimaa Fouad Mohammed Hegazi El Bayar
Department, University & Country	Mansoura University, Egypt