

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125690
Title of the Manuscript:	Cienciometric analysis of canine chronic enteropathy
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct but it is major lacunae and technical errors. The objectives are limited and not clear. The materials and methods lack proper sampling, sample size, statistical analysis, etc. Results and discussion is very poor.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Correct but need spelling corrections.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. Need proper comprehension of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct but it is major lacunae and technical errors. The objectives are limited and not clear. The materials and methods lack proper sampling, sample size, statistical analysis, etc. Results and discussion is very poor. Conclusions are not based on results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. Add recent references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	No.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaikh Salahuddin Shaikh Rafiuddin
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, MAFSU, India