

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125000
Title of the Manuscript:	FEMALE ATHLETE TRIAD: UNDERSTANDING THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENERGY AVAILABILITY, MENSTRUAL FUNCTION, AND BONE HEALTH.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is of great importance. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It can stay.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract rather suggested that I will be reviewing a systematical review article and not an original study. Please correct the abstract (present obtained results numerically).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Firstly, when I thought it was a review article, the titles and subtitles were wrong. But, after realising that it was an original article I can state that the structure is appropriate. See my comments in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The most weak part of this article, besides Abstract, is Introduction (should be longer) and RESULTS. Having in mind that the author(s) have choose a very interesting topic, and they took a large number of variables, I find that result presentation is the greatest weakness of this article and it should be more precise. Please see my comments in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Only 6 references were cited in the Introduction and Discussion. The rest of them were nowhere to be seen. The reference choice is good (section REFERENCES), but they should be cited in the text. I suggest You cite those articles listed in the Reference list.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is very good.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tijana Purenovic-Ivanovic
Department, University & Country	University of Nis, Serbia