

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125738
Title of the Manuscript:	Co-composting- A promising technology for the production of nutrient rich manure from coir pith waste
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript meets the trending demand and recycling of the waste in effective ways improves the nutrient availability in the soil and also environment friendly. Hence, this manuscript is essential in the current situation for organic production of the crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes – the title suits the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract may be elaborated with some effective points without detailing as it is review article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes and the sub title suits the content	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The process of cocomposting and the technique can be explained in detail so that it will be helpful for the readers to understand the techniques. The techniques suggested in the manuscript helps to enhance the nutrient availability of the coir pith. As a whole, the manuscript is encraved in a good way and the subtitles were explained with the right content.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable.	
Optional/General comments		
	The sequence of sentences can be arranged in a proper way so that it will be easy for the readers to understand the content.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niivedidhaa. B.S.R.
Department, University & Country	Anand Institute of Higher Technology, India