

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_125013 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ANALYSIS OF THE BARRIERS TO THE ADOPTION OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING DESIGN METHODS AND TOOLS IN THE AGRICULTURAL AND AGRI-FOOD SECTORS IN WEST AFRICAN COUNTRIES. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <p>Yes, the Manuscript is important for the scientific community because the quality of the article suitable for scholarly considerations with more clarity</p> <p>This manuscript, employs a comprehensive scientifically robust and technically sound for several reasons. Firstly, it addresses a critical area of study by exploring the intersection between industrial engineering and agricultural practices, which is essential for enhancing efficiency and productivity in the agri-food sectors. Secondly, the methodology employed for analyzing barriers is likely grounded in both qualitative and quantitative research approaches, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the challenges encountered by stakeholders..</p> | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | <p>Yes</p> <p>SEE ATTACHMENT</p> | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | <p>Yes</p> | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | <p>Yes</p> | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <p>Yes, it is scientifically robust and technically sound in grammar, engagement and delivery.</p> <p>the manuscript should be well-cited, drawing upon existing literature and case studies relevant to the context of West African countries, thereby reinforcing its claims and providing a solid foundation for its conclusions. Overall, the integration of engineering principles within the agricultural framework is timely and relevant, adding to the manuscript's scientific validity..</p> | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | <p>Yes</p> <p>Some of the References/citations are not available, eg. Reference No. 6,7, 8, 10, - 15, and 19.</p> | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Usman Mohammed Ishaq |
| Department, University & Country | Federal Polytechnic Monguno, Nigeria |