

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_125013
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis Of The Barriers To The Adoption Of Industrial Engineering Design Methods And Tools In The Agricultural And Agri-Food Sectors In West African Countries.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The title of this manuscript is interesting. The authors could improve the text by using more references. The introduction and discussion parts are very short. They must add more discussion to the manuscript. Also, the introduction must be lengthen by using these references: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-19-9909-3_16 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332410444_Analysis_of_sugarcane_stem_s_transportation_process_from_farm_to_factory_using_queuing_theory/citations https://doi.org/10.22067/jam.v10i2.70962 https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=5USoykEAAAAJ&citation_for_view=5USoykEAAAAJ:W7OEmFMy1HYC https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=5USoykEAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=5USoykEAAAAJ:zYLM7Y9cAGgC</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>First, the authors should add more discussion, then rewrite the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No, they are not sufficient https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=5USoykEAAAAJ&citation_for_view=5USoykEAAAAJ:W7OEmFMy1HYC https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=5USoykEAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=5USoykEAAAAJ:zYLM7Y9cAGgC</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Afsharnia
Department, University & Country	Sharif University of Technology, Iran