

**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_125013
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE BARRIERS TO THE ADOPTION OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING DESIGN METHODS AND TOOLS IN THE AGRICULTURAL AND AGRI-FOOD SECTORS IN WEST AFRICAN COUNTRIES.</b>
Type of Article :	<b>review</b>

**PART 2:**

<b>FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</b>
<p>The improvements (not all) are welcome. I appreciate that the authors have taken into account the reviewers' recommendations (unfortunately, not all)...</p> <p>A clear title, abstract, introduction paragraph, methods and materials section, discussion of results, conclusion, and list of references are all included in a well-written paper. Each component of a journal article should be organized in a logical sequence, as scientists have learned to expect.</p> <p>It was said at the first review that: The title of a manuscript should be concise, no more than 10–12 words, and should capture the main topic, the variables manipulated in the study, and/or the issues explored in the study. Therefore, although the authors were suggested to look for a simpler title, this criterion is not met. The title must be impactful, concise and defining!!!!</p> <p>The CONCLUSION section succinctly summarize the major points of the paper, quite ambiguous. In the conclusions, more attention should be paid to the novelty of the results obtained. Indicate what you have done that is new compared to the already known works. The conclusion is intended to help the reader understand why your research should matter to them after they have finished reading the paper. A conclusion is not merely a summary of your points or a re-statement of your research problem but a synthesis of key points. The function of your paper's conclusion is to remind the reader of the strengths of your main argument(s) and reiterates the most important evidence supporting those argument(s). The meaning of the results might seem obvious to you, but it's important to spell out their significance for the reader and show exactly how they answer your research questions. The focus is always on results!</p> <p>The purpose of a review paper is to succinctly review recent progress in a particular topic. Yes, overall, the paper summarizes the current state of knowledge of this topic. But, it creates an understanding of the topic for the reader by discussing the findings presented in recent research papers. And, finally, you need to make your own conclusions about the meanings of those papers. In fact, my suggestion for your CONCLUSION section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>— succinctly summarize your major points.</li> <li>— point out the significance of these results.</li> <li>— discuss the questions that remain in the area.</li> <li>— keep it brief.</li> </ul> <p>I stand by my opinion from the previous review, that the presentation is limited. I believe that this interesting and current topic can be approached more precisely and more to the point.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Imre Kiss</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Politehnica Timisoara, Romania</b>