

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_124144
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of agroecological practices on the fertility of hydromorphic soils of the Tiebele plain under sweet potato ( <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L.) Lam) cultivation in the South Sudanian zone of Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Research

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript holds potential importance for the scientific community, particularly for researchers focusing on agriculture and soil science. However, its impact is currently limited due to poor English and grammatical errors. The authors need to improve the clarity of the text and enhance the focus on data presentation and discussion to ensure that their findings are comprehensible and valuable to readers.</p> <p>SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>More concise and focused title could be : Impact of Agroecological Practices on Fertility of Hydromorphic Soils for Sweet Potato Cultivation in the South Sudanian Zone of Burkina Faso"</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract provides a solid foundation, but it can be enhanced for clarity, structure, and consistency.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Yes</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The article does seem to lack emphasis on the broader significance of its research. To address this, the authors could strengthen the discussion by highlighting the relevance of their findings in the context of sustainable agriculture and food security. They should explain how improving soil fertility through agroecological practices can lead to long-term benefits such as enhanced crop productivity, resilience to climate change, and reduced reliance on chemical fertilizers. Additionally, connecting the study's results to larger global challenges—such as soil degradation and environmental sustainability—would give the research more importance and relevance to the scientific community.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>Need improvement</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>With revisions, this manuscript could offer meaningful insights to its target audience.</p> <p>Revision required</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rahul Adhikary</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centurion University of Technology and Management, India</b>