

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_125558
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.) grafts cv. Alphonso raised in soilless media in various types of containers
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is an important issue in mango graft propagation, especially focusing on root deformity in conventional methods. The study offers a practical solution using alternative containers and soilless media, which can greatly benefit nurseries and commercial growers. The findings are relevant and timely for improving mango graft quality and survival.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is appropriate and reflects the content of the manuscript. No changes are necessary.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, but could briefly mention the key findings, such as specific improvement in growth parameters for the best-performing container type.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured with appropriate subsections that are logically organized, aiding reader comprehension.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The experiment is scientifically robust, with clear methodology and significant results. The statistical analysis further strengthens the reliability of the findings, making it sound and technically accurate.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and relevant. However, a few more recent studies on container and media influence in horticultural crops could be added to enhance the discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear, but there are a few minor grammatical issues that could be refined for better scholarly communication</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N R Rangare
Department, University & Country	Mangalayan University, India