

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124970
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Kinnu Production in Agra Region in Socio Economical Condition of Kinnu growing Farmers
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript holds importance for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the socio-economic impacts of Kinnow cultivation in a semi-arid region like Agra. By focusing on farmer livelihoods, the study highlights the potential of Kinnow as a viable agricultural alternative in regions facing environmental challenges, offering an income diversification strategy. The detailed analysis of factors such as landholding size, access to credit, and market linkages adds depth to understanding the economic viability of orchard-based farming. I appreciate the manuscript for its practical relevance, addressing both economic and social dimensions, which can inform agricultural policy and support for small-scale farmers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>"Impact of Kinnow Production on the Socio-Economic Conditions of Farmers in the Agra Region." This alternative title better reflects the focus of the study and improves readability while retaining the core subject of Kinnow farming and its socio-economic implications.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is clear but could benefit from the following improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include specific data or figures to quantify the socio-economic impact of Kinnow farming. • Elaborate briefly on the challenges faced by farmers, such as market fluctuations or environmental conditions. • Clarify the social impact by providing specific examples or mentioning how Kinnow farming affects community development. • If relevant, briefly include any policy recommendations for enhancing Kinnow production. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript structure is appropriate, but a few improvements could enhance clarity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rename "Selected Village in Agra District Kinnow Orchard" to "Study Area: Selected Villages in Agra District." • Combine "Costs and Returns from Kinnow Orchard" and "Profitability Analysis" into one section called "Economic Analysis of Kinnow Orchards" to avoid redundancy. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear research design and data-driven approach. The study uses a sample of 100 Kinnow-growing farmers from multiple villages, which ensures a broad representation of the population. By addressing factors such as landholding size, access to credit, and market linkages, it provides a comprehensive analysis of both the economic and social dimensions of Kinnow cultivation. Additionally, the breakdown of costs and profitability demonstrates a solid understanding of the financial aspects, making the findings reliable for policy and agricultural decision-making.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, References are sufficient. I would recommend updating them with recent research, especially related to Kinnow farming practices in similar climatic regions and advancements in agricultural economics.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript is generally suitable for scholarly communication. It is important to ensure clarity and consistency in terminology while maintaining a formal tone. Attention to grammar and syntax is necessary, and improving the flow between sections will enhance cohesion. Additionally, defining technical terms upon first use will make the content more accessible to a wider audience.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides valuable insights into the cultivation of kinnow, also referred to as kinnu, and its socio-economic impact on farmers in the Agra region. The use of both terms throughout the text is essential for clarity, as "kinnow" is the more widely recognized name for the hybrid fruit, while "kinnu" may be used locally or informally. Ensuring consistent terminology will enhance the manuscript's accessibility and comprehension for a broader audience.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umangkumar Bharatbhai Zalavadiya
Department, University & Country	Parul Institute of Technology, Parul University, India