

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_124620
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Urgent need for GIS based dengue surveillance with the Google internet real time web mapping for epidemic control in India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Articles</b>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript presents a significant contribution by addressing the urgent need for GIS-based dengue surveillance in India, utilizing real-time web mapping. The integration of technology in public health surveillance is timely and vital, especially in controlling epidemic outbreaks. The approach enhances monitoring capabilities, potentially leading to more effective epidemic control measures. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more detailed discussion of its broader applicability beyond the Indian context.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title, " <i>Urgent need for GIS based dengue surveillance with the Google internet real time web mapping for epidemic control in India</i> ", is suitable but somewhat lengthy. A more concise alternative could be: " <i>The Urgent Need for GIS-Based Dengue Surveillance Using Real-Time Web Mapping in India.</i> "	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is informative but could be more concise. It effectively outlines the importance of GIS-based surveillance but lacks specific details about the methodology and key findings. I recommend adding a brief mention of the research design and main outcomes to make the abstract more comprehensive.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript's structure is generally appropriate, with clear subsections. However, the flow between sections can be improved by adding more transitional sentences, which will enhance readability and coherence.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically sound and technically robust. The proposed system for GIS-based dengue surveillance is well-aligned with current technological advancements and public health needs. The use of real-time data is a crucial aspect that enhances the reliability and timeliness of epidemic response. However, more empirical data or case studies to support the effectiveness of this approach would strengthen the manuscript.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are relevant, but a few recent studies from the last two years should be added to reflect the latest advancements in GIS and real-time surveillance technologies. This will improve the manuscript's up-to-date relevance.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, but there are some areas where sentence structure can be improved for clarity. I recommend a light language revision for fluency and precision.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Consider providing more detailed examples or case studies from other regions to demonstrate the scalability of this approach. This will add depth to the manuscript's argument regarding the global applicability of GIS-based surveillance systems.  There do not appear to be any ethical issues in this manuscript.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Logeshwari Dhavamani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, India</b>