

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_125351
Title of the Manuscript:	Poisoning with local anesthetics following circumcision :a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Case report discusses the very important topic of malpractices related to circumcision. Circumcision is done for religious traditions or medical reasons. The article also discusses the challenges faced by patients, doctors and family members of the patient albeit briefly but in crisp way. Although there are errors in sentence framing and article could be written in better way so as to invoke easy understandability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The writing is not upto the mark and can be improved, although author is successful in conveying the message crudely. The line " the clinic was dominated by convulsions and alteration of the state of consciousness 15 minutes after circumcision in this well infant carrying without any particular history" should be corrected so as to convey meaning clearly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses the challenges and complications faced by doctors and patients with the age-old practices of circumcision. Introduction seems to be crisp and adequate and entails the aim of the study. Case details given are elaborate and precise. Relevant scoring was used to assess condition of the patient. Treatment implemented and management details are well put in a sequential way. Statistical details are well referenced and checks out to be correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable but could be improved. With minor corrections as already stated above.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Udbhav Tewari
Department, University & Country	UPUMS, India