

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_125668
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between weather parameters and agrometeorological indices with pod yield of groundnut
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research was done here is valuable for the scientific community as it focuses on the relationship yield of groundnut with weathers parameters which is the need of the hour in this climate changing scenario	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research was conducted by maintaining all the scientific research norms, proper statistical designs and by citing proper references, and as it focuses on the relationship yield of groundnut with weathers parameters which is the need of the hour in this climate-changing scenario	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammar/tense of the manuscript is a bit poor, and I have marked some corrections in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Overall the manuscript is well-written and can be considered for publication with minor modifications as mentioned in the track change. It is also suggested to add few more recent references (at least 10 nos.).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diptanu Datta
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Siksha "O" Anusandhan, India