

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_125543
Title of the Manuscript:	N-Acetyl Cysteine and Zinc Sulfate Attenuates Acute Crude Oil-Induced Oxidative Stress and Testicular Structural Damage in Male Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>1- This study is important to know the extent of the impact of crude oil and its harms on living organisms, especially those working in the oil field. On the other hand, to evaluate the benefit of the supplements applied in this study to reducing the severity effect of the oil on the structure and functions of the reproductive system (especially fertility).</p> <p>SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, It's ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>It needs to rephrase</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In general, the abstract should be brief and comprehensive. - It should be in one paragraph, do not divide it into multiple paragraphs under headline. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, need to be restructure and numerate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Used the reliable references. 2- Conducted the results and compared with previous studies. 3- It was used a proper number of animal group with different doses of supplement. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient, but there is one reference is duplicate need to be removed and replace with other one.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some of paragraphs need to be completely rephrased, I mentioned the comment in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What is the mechanism or the method that was used to prepare the suspension of BLOC, NAC and ZnSO₄ ? - Based on the obtained results, what is the best compound or supplement that was applied to the treatment groups and give the best result? 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faisal Ghazi Lazim
Department, University & Country	University of Misan, Iraq