

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_125411
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effect of variegated grasshopper meal (<i>Zonoceros variegatus</i>) on Growth, Nutrient utilization and Gene expression study in juvenile <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- <b>The aim of this study to suggests that variegated grasshopper meal inclusion in <i>C. gariepinus</i> (African catfish) is capable of boosting growth, alleviating oxidative stress and improving immune responses. It could also contribute to sustainable livelihoods and food security, aligning with the region's potential for aquaculture development and rising health and nutrition awareness.</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>No problem about the title of articles</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>I suggest that the author review this manuscript again, as there is another manuscript that produced the same results and title and was published previously</b>  <b>The manuscript I found it is (Preliminary evaluation of the nutritive value of the variegated grasshopper (<i>Zonocerus variegatus</i> L.) for African catfish <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822) fingerlings)</b> <b>Aquaculture Research April 201143(3):412 – 420. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.02844.x</b>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>Yes very good</b>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>This manuscript discussed variegated grasshopper meal inclusion in <i>C. gariepinus</i> (African catfish) is capable of boosting growth, alleviating oxidative stress and improving immune responses</b>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>I think the author should be read this paper (Preliminary evaluation of the nutritive value of the variegated grasshopper (<i>Zonocerus variegatus</i> L.) for African catfish <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822) fingerlings)</b> <b>Aquaculture Research April 201143(3):412 – 420. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.02844.x</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fathy Elshaer Mohammed Fathy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Azhar University, Egypt</b>