

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125632
Title of the Manuscript:	The Adoption and Usage of ICT in Teaching and Learning in Tanzanian Secondary Schools: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is vital for the scientific community as it comprehensively analyses how ICT is being adopted and utilized in Tanzanian secondary schools. Systematically reviewing current trends and challenges offers insights into the effectiveness of ICT integration in education, contributing to the ongoing conversation about improving learning outcomes. The findings also serve as a valuable resource for policymakers, educators, and researchers looking to enhance ICT frameworks in educational systems, not only in Tanzania but also in similar contexts global	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	There are too many CONJUNCTIONS (ie use of and). Suggestion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Systematic Review of ICT Adoption and Usage in Tanzanian Secondary School Education • ICT Integration in Teaching and Learning within Tanzanian Secondary Schools: A Comprehensive Review. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is Okay.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its systematic approach to reviewing relevant studies, ensuring comprehensive coverage of the topic. The methodology employed adheres to established research standards, enhancing the reliability and accuracy of the findings. Additionally, the analysis is based on a diverse range of credible sources, providing a balanced and well-supported evaluation of ICT adoption in Tanzanian secondary schools. This rigour makes the conclusions both valid and applicable to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	(Isaacs, 2007). I suggest reading and adding to support Isaacs (2007): A Conceptual Framework for Enhancing the Adoption of E-learning in Engineering Education (Ndukuba et al., 2018)	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Impressive	
Optional/General comments	I am glad to be part of the reviewers on this topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Nnadoziem Ndukuba
Department, University & Country	Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa