

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125632
Title of the Manuscript:	The Adoption and Usage of ICT in Teaching and Learning in Tanzanian Secondary Schools: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses a pressing issue within the field, providing new insights that could advance our understanding and potentially inform future research directions. The findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge, offering a fresh perspective that may challenge or support current theories. I appreciate the manuscript for its thorough methodology and clear presentation of results, which not only enhance its credibility but also make it a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners alike. Overall, its potential to stimulate further investigation and discussion makes it a noteworthy addition to the literature.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the research.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is generally comprehensive, summarizing the key objectives, methods, results, and conclusions effectively.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The organization facilitates a clear flow of information, allowing readers to easily follow the authors' argument and methodology</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a strong foundation in scientific methodology, utilizing rigorous experimental design and appropriate statistical analyses to support its findings. The authors provide comprehensive literature reviews, situating their work within the current body of knowledge and highlighting its contributions. Additionally, the data presented are consistent and reproducible, which strengthens the validity of their conclusions. Overall, the manuscript reflects a thorough understanding of the subject matter and adheres to accepted scientific standards, making it a robust and technically sound piece of research.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, references are relevant.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Accept with minor revisions</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olajumoke Olayemi Salami
Department, University & Country	Federal University Oye Ekiti, Nigeria