

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_125453
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Functional Matrix systems for core drug delivery in MUPS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are many publications on this topic, please justify, how this work is different from earlier published work and the importance of this type of drug delivery in people.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please modify the title and write the full form of MUPS.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract language should be improved, and this research's methods, evaluation, results, and conclusion should be mentioned.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NO, please modify	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript needs rewriting with adding high-resolution figures. Give details of methods. Comments on the results is critical way compared to the literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Update references to include recent peer-reviewed articles and publications from 2020 onward.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article's language should be improved	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NO	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arvind Raghav
Department, University & Country	Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India