

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_125683
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Pentahelix model approach: Creating Village Innovation Perception in Sokaraja Village Banyumas</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :		

### Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Summary:</b> This research analyzed the factors that affected village innovation development in Sokaraja Village, Banyumas, using the Pentahelix model by comparing two sub-tofu business groups with different levels of support. This study showed the importance of key stakeholders in driving innovation and that all aspects of the Pentahelix model are important but also have room for improvement.</p> <p><b>Strength:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Relevant Theme: Emphasis on rural innovation and community empowerment is very timely and relevant and forms part of the responses to the current concerns about poverty and economic development in Indonesia.</li><li>2. Methodological Soundness: The design of this study in using meta-analysis supported by the Pentahelix framework represents a powerful methodological approach that gives an all-round perspective on stakeholders' roles.</li><li>3. Data Presentation: Tables and figures used facilitate the exposition of the findings, especially results pertaining to Importance Performance Analysis - IPA.</li></ol> <p><b>Weakness:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Scope: The scope of the research is mainly confined to the sub-tofu business group, which cannot fully represent the innovation dynamics of various industrial sectors. Greater diversity in the types of businesses would allow more generalized findings.</li><li>2. Confounding Variables: While several factors of innovation have been identified, detailed explanation on how external socio-economic conditions or even cultural influences may affect the findings is relatively absent in the study. More analysis of such influences would add weight to the conclusion.</li><li>3. Discussion of Findings: The discussion could be elaborated on to reflect more depth in the implications of findings. Specifically, elaboration could be shown in reflecting how insights could be used in informing policy or practices within rural development.</li></ol> <p><b>Recommendations</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Expand the Research Scope: Further studies may consider other sectors' development and various business groups to gain insight into the village innovation dynamics more broadly.</li><li>2. Control for Confounding Variables: Allow for an expanded analysis of exogenous factors impacting innovation, such as regional economic conditions or educational background of the respondent.</li><li>3. Better Discussion: Provide a longer section concerning practical implications, such as how stakeholders might work harder and with better results to improve innovation in areas identified through the results of this study.</li><li>4. Directions for Future Research: Longitudinal studies might be needed to be able to capture the possible long-term effects of a Pentahelix model in sustaining innovation in rural areas.</li></ol> <p><b>Overall Impression:</b> The paper significantly contributes to the rural innovation discourse in Indonesia by highlighting critical roles that are to be played by various stakeholders and areas that need further improvement. With some enhancements regarding the scope and depth of analysis, this paper may potentially become a foundational piece for future research and practical initiatives in village innovation.</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> Publish with minor revisions.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bishnu Rimal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Singhania University, India</b>