

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofEngineeringResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JERR_125675
TitleoftheManuscript:	TheFutureofWork:AHuman-CentricApproachtoAI,Robotics,andCloudComputing
TypeoftheArticle	

ReviewForm3

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper provides a timely and comprehensive analysis of how AI, robotics, and cloud computing are reshaping the future of work. The mixed-method approach and insights into productivity gains and ethical challenges make this study valuable for both academic and policy discussions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and reflects the core focus of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, covering all major aspects. However, I suggest highlighting key quantitative findings (e.g., productivity increase percentages) for more clarity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is appropriate, with well-organized sections covering all necessary aspects like methodology, results, and ethical implications.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, offering a detailed analysis of AI, robotics, and cloud computing. The use of both quantitative and qualitative methods strengthens the findings. However, some additional details in the methodology regarding the sampling technique would enhance transparency.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, with good coverage of relevant literature. However, including more recent publications from 2023 and 2024 on AI ethics and workforce displacement would be beneficial.	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language and English quality are generally good but could benefit from minor improvements in readability. Consider simplifying some complex sentences to ensure accessibility for a broader audience.	
Optional/General comments	The analysis of ethical concerns, particularly around algorithmic bias, is well-articulated but could include potential solutions or recommendations for future AI governance frameworks.	

ReviewForm3
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imran Hussain
Department, University & Country	India