

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125371
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) varieties for their resistance to gram pod borer ( <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> , Hubner) in a protected environment.
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Add a brief paragraph that emphasizes the significance of this research for the scientific community and agricultural sector, particularly for chickpea breeders and integrated pest management specialists. Highlight the relevance of developing resistant chickpea varieties to minimize crop losses and ensure sustainable agriculture.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The current title is appropriate and clear. However, you may suggest adding more specific details like "Under Controlled Conditions" to specify the experimental setting, e.g., "<b>Resistance of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) Varieties to Gram Pod Borer (Helicoverpa armigera) in a Controlled Environment.</b>"</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is well-structured but could benefit from a slight expansion to mention the broader impact of the study results on chickpea breeding programs. Include a sentence that mentions potential applications of the research findings in developing resistant varieties.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript follows a logical structure; however, ensure consistency in headings and subheadings throughout the document (e.g., capitalize each word in section titles)</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript appears scientifically sound based on the methods and results. The experimental design (CRD) and statistical analyses seem appropriate for the study's goals.  <input type="checkbox"/> One point to improve: provide more details on the statistical significance levels for the mean larval density and yield comparisons in the results section. Expand the discussion on how the larval densities correlate with pod damage and yield.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are fairly recent, but additional relevant references on pest resistance in chickpea or new techniques in integrated pest management can be added. Ensure that all citations follow the journal's required style.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript's language is generally suitable, but there are minor issues with sentence flow. For example, some sentences in the introduction could be rephrased for clarity</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Noreen Fatima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agriculture, Pakistan</b>