

# **Influence of Natural Dyes on the qualitative and quantitative parameters of Bivoltine Silkworm Breeds under Sub-tropical Conditions of Poonch District, Jammu and Kashmir**

## **ABSTRACT**

The study on Influence of Natural Dyes on the qualitative and quantitative parameters of Bivoltine Silkworm Breeds under Sub-tropical Conditions of Poonch District, UT Jammu and Kashmir,” was conducted during the spring of 2022 at the PG, Department of Sericulture, Poonch Campus, University of Jammu. The study examined the effects of feeding bivoltine silkworm breeds CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> with two concentrations (50% and 100%) of natural dyes: madder (*Rubiocardifolia*) and indigo blue (*Indigoferatinctoria*). A comprehensive evaluation of both qualitative and quantitative parameters was undertaken, including fecundity, hatching %, larval duration, weight of 10 mature larvae, survival rate, mortality %, pupation % and cocoon quality parameters. The results indicated significant enhancements in fecundity, hatching % and cocoon yield for silkworms treated with both dyes at 100% concentration (T2). Specifically, CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> exhibited the highest values for larval weight, cocoon weight, pupation rate and shell ratio % in T2 treatments. The findings suggest that feeding silkworms natural dyes, particularly at higher concentrations, significantly improved the economic parameters of both breeds. While the cocoons produced were intrinsically coloured without compromising commercial traits, the colour intensity was lighter than anticipated. This implies that introducing dyed mulberry leaves during the 1<sup>st</sup> instar stage may yield more favourable results. Overall, the study demonstrates that madder and indigo dyes are suitable for producing coloured silk, offering potential for large-scale applications in sustainable silk production.

**Keywords:** Silkworm, Madder dye, Indigo dye, colour silk, economic parameters.

## **1. INTRODUCTION:**

Sericulture, the art and science of breeding silkworms for silk production, has long been a crucial agro-based sector that significantly contributes to rural economies through revenue generation and job creation. India stands as the second-largest producer of silk globally, following China, with a diverse range of agroclimatic conditions conducive to sericulture, including plains, hills and forests (Kumar *et al.*, 2020). Notably, India is the only

country capable of producing all four commercial varieties of natural silk; mulberry, tasar, eri and muga. However, approximately 68% of mulberry silk is crossbred (Chandrashekhar and Chandrakanth, 2024) which are of lower quality compared to bivoltine silk, known for its superior quality and productivity. The agricultural significance of sericulture is evident, as it provides year-round employment and enhances income for rural farmers. Despite India's status as a leading raw silk producer, the quality of yarn is compromised due to the prevalence of multivoltine races, particularly in tropical climates where the potential for high-quality bivoltine silk is underexploited (Brahma *et al.*, 2015). The need for improved silkworm breeding strategies, particularly the introduction of compatible bivoltine hybrids in tropical areas, has become increasingly apparent to enhance silk production (Lakshmi *et al.*, 2011).

The textile industry, a critical component of both developed and developing economies, relies heavily on sericulture. However, the production process generates vast amounts of polluted wastewater due to the use of various chemicals, including synthetic dyes (Ademorotti, 1992; Navarro, 2001 and Sheng & Chi, 2003). Dyes that chemically bond with substrates create vibrant, colourfast fabrics but also pose significant environmental challenges (Yang & Al-Duri, 2001). The growing global awareness of environmental sustainability has spurred interest in the use of natural dyes, which are biodegradable and less toxic compared to their synthetic counterparts (Anitha & Prasad, 2007 and Subhashini *et al.*, 2009).

The silk dyeing industry is increasingly embracing sustainable practices, particularly through the use of naturally coloured silk cocoons dyed with eco-friendly plant and animal-based dyes. These natural dyes, being biodegradable and less toxic, present viable alternatives to synthetic options. Recent studies emphasize the necessity for continued research on dye retention in textiles, noting that silkworms fed with natural dyes maintain normal growth and feeding behaviours (Hill, 1997; Han & Yang, 2005 & Issa *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, natural dyes like turmeric have been shown to possess medicinal properties, including antibacterial effects.

Natural dyes are capable of producing vibrant colours that can rival those of synthetic dyes while being environmentally safer. Pigments such as chlorophyll and quercetin exhibit excellent colourfastness and ecological benefits (Adeel *et al.*, 2009 and Cristea & Vilarem, 2006). Dyes derived from tannins and flavonoids are effective in binding to various fabrics, making them ideal for textiles including wool, cotton, silk and leather (Mongkholrattanasit & Punrattanasin, 2012). While some natural dyes may demonstrate lower

light fastness, others, such as eucalyptus leaf extract, exhibit high fastness even without mordants (Janani & Winifred, 2013).

In light of these considerations, the present study aims to investigate the influence of natural dyes on the qualitative and quantitative parameters of bivoltine silkworm breeds in the sub-tropical conditions of Poonch District, Jammu and Kashmir. This investigation is particularly relevant given the region's potential for sericulture and the opportunity to enhance silk quality through environmentally sustainable practices. The exploration of natural dyes could not only improve the economic viability of sericulture in the area but also contribute to the reduction of chemical pollution in the textile industry, aligning with global sustainability trends (Bhuyan & Saikia, 2005; Kulkarni *et al.*, 2011 & Nisal *et al.*, 2014).

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Study Location and Duration**

The study was conducted in the Department of Sericulture at Poonch Campus, University of Jammu, during Spring 2022. It aimed to assess the impact of natural dye-feeding on the qualitative and quantitative parameters of bivoltine silkworm breeds CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> under the sub-tropical conditions of Poonch District, Jammu and Kashmir.

### **2.2. Procurement of Silkworm Seed**

Disease-free layings (DFLs) of CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> were sourced from the Central Sericultural Research Training and Institute (CSR&TI), Mysore.

### **2.3. Silkworm rearing and environmental conditions**

The experimental design followed the standard sericulture practices, both natural and modified diets, as specified in Table 1, were used in the study. The rearing room and equipment were disinfected using a 2% bleaching powder solution followed by a 2% formalin solution, sealed for 24 hours, and ventilated for another 24 hours to remove residual formalin. DFLs were incubated at 25±1°C and 75±5% relative humidity until reaching the head pigmentation stage. Black boxing was employed to synchronize hatching prior to light exposure. Hatched larvae were placed in trays lined with paraffin paper to retain humidity and were fed chopped tender mulberry leaves as the primary food source. Temperature and humidity were strictly managed during sensitive larval stages, particularly during moulting. Paraffin paper and foam strips were used to regulate humidity

### **2.4. Dye Treatments and Experimental Design**

Natural dyes (madder and indigo) were sourced from SKYMORN Herbs and Dye Export, India. Two dye concentrations (50% and 100%) were prepared, and larvae were divided into control (T<sub>0</sub>) and treated groups (T<sub>1</sub> for 50%, T<sub>2</sub> for 100%), receiving mulberry

leaves sprayed with dye solutions during the 5<sup>th</sup> instar. Silkworms were fed fresh mulberry leaves four times daily, with regular cleaning of beds to maintain hygiene by removing waste and unhealthy larvae. Adequate spacing between trays ensured proper ventilation and feeding was paused during moulting to reduce stress. When the larvae reached maturity, they were transferred to spinning mountages, where they spun cocoons over a three-day period under controlled conditions of 25°C and 60-70% relative humidity. The cocoons were harvested on the 8<sup>th</sup> day after mounting for analysis of cocoon parameters, such as shell weight, shell ratio % and other parameters.

## **2.5. Data Collection**

Qualitative and quantitative parameters were recorded at various silkworm development stages, including:

### **2.5.1. Qualitative Parameters**

- Egg Stage: Serosa colour, egg shape and chorion colour.
- Larval Stage: Body colour, larval markings, body build and hemolymph colour.
- Cocoon Stage: Cocoon colour, shape and texture.
- Pupal Stage: Pupal colour and shape.
- Adult Stage: Body colour of moths.

### **2.5.2 Quantitative Parameters**

- Egg Stage: Fecundity, hatching percentage and brushing percentage.
- Larval Stage: Duration of the 5<sup>th</sup> instar, total larval duration, weight of single and ten mature larvae, length of mature larvae, survival rate and mortality percentage.
- Cocoon and Pupal Stage: Cocoon yield, pupation percentage, cocoon and shell weights and shell ratio.

## **2.6. Statistical Analysis**

For statistical analysis, a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was employed, with square root transformations applied where necessary. Treatment means were compared using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test, following Rangaswami (2010).

## **3. RESULTS**

The study examined the impact of feeding silkworm larvae (CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> breeds) with mulberry leaves treated with madder and indigo dye solutions on cocoon color, as well as various qualitative and quantitative traits. Both breeds were fed the dyed leaves from the

first day of the 5<sup>th</sup> instar until cocoon spinning. Feeding with 50% concentrations of both dyes showed no visible changes in cocoon color. However, at 100% concentration, the cocoons turned blue with indigo and red with madder, as detailed in Tables 1 and 2 and shown in Figure 1.

### **3.1. Qualitative Parameters of Bivoltine Silkworm Breeds**

#### **3.1.1. Egg Stage observations**

Both CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> breeds produced green, ellipsoidal eggs. CSR<sub>16</sub> exhibited white serosa and creamish-white chorion, while CSR<sub>27</sub> had yellow serosa and pale-yellow chorion.

#### **3.1.2. Larval Stage observations**

Distinct variations were noted in the larval stage. CSR<sub>16</sub> larvae had a creamish-white body with markings, whereas CSR<sub>27</sub> larvae exhibited a pure white and plain body. The haemolymph in both breeds was transparent and the larvae possessed a cylindrical and stout body structure.

#### **3.1.3. Cocoon Stage observations**

At the cocoon stage, both breeds produced bright white cocoons. CSR<sub>16</sub> cocoons were dumbbell-shaped, while CSR<sub>27</sub> cocoons were oval-shaped, with medium-sized grains. Under 100% dye concentrations, indigo-treated larvae produced blue cocoons and madder-treated larvae produced red cocoons.

#### **3.1.4. Pupal Stage observations**

In the pupal stage, CSR<sub>16</sub> pupae were yellow, while CSR<sub>27</sub> pupae displayed a yellowish-brown hue. Both breeds exhibited an elliptical pupal shape.

#### **3.1.5. Adult Stage observations**

Post-cocoon emergence, male moths of both breeds were creamish-white, while female moths exhibited a dirty colouration.

### **3.2. Quantitative Parameters of Bivoltine Silkworm Breeds**

#### **3.2.1. Egg Stage observations**

The fecundity of silkworms treated with indigo dye revealed significant variations among different treatments for both CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> breeds. For CSR<sub>16</sub>, the highest fecundity was observed in T2 (100% indigo concentration), with a recorded value of  $506.7 \pm 8.045$ , followed by T1 (50% indigo concentration) at  $505.0 \pm 5.066$ . The lowest fecundity was seen in the control group (T0) at  $495.0 \pm 6.608$  (F-cal = 0.883, P = 0.92117). For CSR<sub>27</sub>, the highest fecundity was recorded in T1 (50% indigo concentration) at  $536.25 \pm 4.270$ , followed

by T2 (100% indigo concentration) at  $526.25 \pm 8.985$ , with the lowest value in T0 (controlled) at  $511.00 \pm 9.626$  (F-cal = 1.513, P = 0.27134).

The number of fertilized eggs for CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with indigo dye showed the highest value in T0 (controlled) at  $536.00 \pm 1.633$ , followed by T1 (50% indigo) at  $534.75 \pm 1.702$ , with the lowest value in T2 (100% indigo) at  $524.25 \pm 2.462$  (F-cal = 1.513, P = 0.27134). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, the highest number of fertilized eggs was recorded in T1 (50% indigo) at  $529.00 \pm 4.916$ , followed by T2 (100% indigo) at  $521.75 \pm 10.061$  and the lowest value in T0 (controlled) at  $498.00 \pm 8.612$  (F-cal = 1.389, P = 0.29810).

For unfertilized eggs, the CSR<sub>16</sub> breed treated with indigo dye showed the highest value in T1 (50% indigo) at  $4.00 \pm 0.913$ , followed by T2 (100% indigo) at  $3.00 \pm 0.707$  and the lowest value in T0 (controlled) at  $3.00 \pm 0.408$  (F-cal = 1.513, P = 0.27134). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, the highest number of unfertilized eggs was recorded in T0 (controlled) at  $13.00 \pm 1.080$ , followed by T1 (50% indigo) at  $7.25 \pm 0.854$  and the lowest value in T2 (100% indigo) at  $7.00 \pm 2.677$  (F-cal = 1, P = 0.29810).

The hatching % of CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with indigo dye showed significant variations, with the highest value recorded in T0 (controlled) at  $96.45 \pm 0.897$ , followed by T2 (100% indigo) at  $94.22 \pm 1.651$  and the lowest in T1 (50% indigo) at  $93.27 \pm 0.873$ . In CSR<sub>27</sub>, the hatching % was highest in T2 (100% indigo) at  $99.13 \pm 0.363$ , followed by T1 (50% indigo) at  $98.39 \pm 0.281$  and the lowest in T0 (controlled) at  $97.46 \pm 0.170$  (F-cal = 0.069, P = 0.93350).

Overall, the results from the egg stage indicate that both breeds exhibited enhanced fecundity, fertilized egg numbers and hatching % under indigo dye treatment, particularly at higher concentrations. However, the control group also showed competitive values for certain parameters, indicating variability based on the treatment applied.

### **3.2.2. Larval Stage observations**

In this study, the effects of madder and indigo dyes on the 5<sup>th</sup> instar duration, total larval duration, larval weight, length, survival and mortality % in two breeds of silkworms (CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub>) were examined.

For the CSR<sub>16</sub> breed treated with madder dye, the highest 5th instar duration was observed in the T1 (50% concentration) group ( $8.52 \pm 0.132$  days), while the shortest was in the T2 (100% concentration) group ( $8.32 \pm 0.095$  days). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, T1 also showed the highest duration ( $8.42 \pm 0.111$  days) and T0 (control) showed the shortest ( $8.37 \pm 0.048$  days). For indigo dye treatments, CSR<sub>16</sub> showed the longest duration in the T0 ( $8.32 \pm 0.085$  days) and the shortest in T1 ( $8.27 \pm 0.048$  days). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, the longest duration was in T2 ( $8.40 \pm 0.082$  days) and the shortest was in T1 ( $8.22 \pm 0.025$  days).

The total larval duration of CSR<sub>16</sub>treated with madder dye was longest in T0 (25.84 ± 0.254 days) and shortest in T2 (24.06 ± 0.019 days). For CSR<sub>27</sub>, T1 had the longest duration (26.32 ± 0.333 days) and T0 the shortest (25.92 ± 0.250 days). In indigo-treated CSR<sub>16</sub>, T0 showed the longest duration (26.22 ± 0.217 days), while T1 was the shortest (24.65 ± 0.332 days). For CSR<sub>27</sub>treated with indigo, T2 had the longest duration (26.27 ± 0.295 days) and T1 the shortest (25.95 ± 0.328 days).

CSR<sub>16</sub>treated with madder dye showed the highest weight in T2 (40.82 ± 0.015 g) and the lowest in T0 (40.06 ± 0.480 g). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, T2 recorded the highest weight (42.67 ± 0.085 g) and T0 had the lowest (40.45 ± 0.151 g). For indigo treatments, the highest weight in CSR<sub>16</sub>was observed in T2 (41.39 ± 0.270 g) and the lowest in T0 (38.87 ± 0.315 g). CSR<sub>27</sub>treated with indigo dye showed the highest weight in T2 (40.84 ± 0.018 g) and the lowest in T0 (39.72 ± 0.408 g).

In CSR<sub>16</sub>, the longest larvae treated with madder dye were recorded in T2 (7.37 ± 0.180 cm) and the shortest in T0 (6.65 ± 0.312 cm). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, T0 showed the longest larvae (6.92 ± 0.193 cm), while T2 had the shortest (6.55 ± 0.299 cm). For indigo-treated CSR<sub>16</sub>, T1 had the longest larvae (6.92 ± 0.193 cm) and T0 had the shortest (6.25 ± 0.330 cm). CSR<sub>27</sub>treated with indigo showed the longest larvae in T2 (6.82 ± 0.048 cm) and the shortest in T0 (6.67 ± 0.295 cm).

CSR<sub>16</sub>treated with madder dye had the highest survival in T0 (98.52 ± 0.675%) and the lowest in T1 (96.74 ± 1.131%). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, T2 had the highest survival (98.248 ± 0.478%) and T1 the lowest (97.98 ± 0.351%). In CSR<sub>16</sub>treated with indigo dye, T1 showed the highest survival (98.48 ± 0.558%) and T2 the lowest (97.23 ± 1.567%). In CSR<sub>27</sub>, the highest survival was in T1 (99.08 ± 0.284%), while T0 had the lowest (95.35 ± 0.928%). CSR<sub>16</sub>treated with madder dye had the highest mortality in T2 (1.265 ± 0.279%) and the lowest in T0 (1.18 ± 0.048%). For CSR<sub>27</sub>, T0 had the highest mortality (2.74 ± 0.417%)and T1 the lowest (1.08 ± 0.209%). In CSR<sub>16</sub>treated with indigo dye, T1 had the highest mortality (2.24 ± 0.417%) and T0 the lowest (1.41 ± 0.672%). CSR<sub>27</sub>treated with indigo showed the highest mortality in T1 (2.32 ± 0.415%) and the lowest in T2 (1.56 ± 0.516%). These findings suggest that different concentrations of natural dyes influence various parameters of larval development and survival, with distinct breed-specific responses to madder and indigo treatments. The data is presented in Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 1.

### 3.2.3. Cocoon and Pupal Stage Observations

The study analyzed cocoon yield and related parameters of CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> silkworm breeds treated with varying concentrations of madder and indigo dyes. For CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder dye, the highest yield by weight (green cocoon) was observed in T2 (100% concentration) with  $15.63 \pm 0.217$ , while the lowest yield was in T1 (50% concentration) at  $14.66 \pm 0.537$ . CSR<sub>27</sub> also showed the highest yield in T2 (100% concentration) at  $15.19 \pm 0.116$ . In the case of indigo dye treatment, CSR<sub>16</sub> achieved its highest yield in T1 (50% concentration) at  $14.75 \pm 0.244$  and CSR<sub>27</sub> recorded the highest yield in the control group (T0) at  $14.78 \pm 0.252$ . For dry cocoon yield, CSR<sub>16</sub> had the highest in T2 (100% madder concentration) at  $14.01 \pm 0.021$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> recorded the highest in T2 (100% madder concentration) at  $13.69 \pm 0.314$ . With indigo dye, CSR<sub>16</sub> had its highest yield in the control group (T0) at  $14.78 \pm 0.252$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> had the highest in the control group (T0) at  $14.60 \pm 0.659$ . In terms of cocoon yield by number, CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder dye exhibited the highest number of cocoons in T2 (100% concentration) at  $9,257.25 \pm 5.588$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> showed the highest in T1 (50% concentration) at  $9,307.75 \pm 25.001$ . With indigo dye treatment, CSR<sub>16</sub> highest yield was in T1 (50% concentration) at  $9,254.00 \pm 10.544$  and CSR<sub>27</sub> had the highest in T2 (100% concentration) at  $9,635.75 \pm 47.068$ . The dead cocoon % for CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder dye was highest in T1 (50% concentration) at  $2.42 \pm 0.569$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> recorded the highest in T2 (100% concentration) at  $3.96 \pm 0.699$ . With indigo dye treatment, CSR<sub>16</sub> showed the highest dead cocoon % in the control group (T0) at  $3.21 \pm 0.608$  and CSR<sub>27</sub> had the highest in T1 (50% concentration) at  $4.16 \pm 0.295$ . For defective cocoon %, CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder dye had the highest in the control group (T0) at  $4.47 \pm 0.604$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> had the highest in T2 (100% concentration) at  $4.86 \pm 0.271$ . With indigo dye, CSR<sub>16</sub>'s highest defective cocoon % was in T2 (100% concentration) at  $4.32 \pm 0.311$  and CSR<sub>27</sub>'s highest was in T1 (50% concentration) at  $3.73 \pm 0.356$ . The cocoon volume per replication showed that CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder dye had the highest volume in T1 (50% concentration) at  $107.25 \pm 0.854$  and CSR<sub>27</sub> had the highest in T1 (50% concentration) at  $105.75 \pm 1.493$ . With indigo dye treatment, CSR<sub>16</sub> showed the highest cocoon volume in T1 (50% concentration) at  $106.25 \pm 1.109$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> recorded the highest in T1 (50% concentration) at  $107.25 \pm 1.109$ . The pupation % for CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder dye was highest in T2 (100% concentration) at  $99.23 \pm 0.163$ , while CSR<sub>27</sub> recorded the highest in T1 (50% concentration) at  $97.39 \pm 0.605$ . With indigo dye treatment, CSR<sub>16</sub> had the highest pupation % in T2 (100% concentration) at  $97.37 \pm 0.587$  and CSR<sub>27</sub> had the highest in T2 (100% concentration) at  $96.44 \pm 0.511$ . These results highlight the significant influence of

natural dyes (madder and indigo) on cocoon yield, dead cocoon %, defective cocoon % and pupation % in CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> silkworm breeds.

The study on CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> breeds treated with natural dyes (madder and indigo) revealed significant variations in cocoon weight, shell weight and shell ratio across different dye concentrations. For CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder, the highest single cocoon weight was observed in the 50% concentration (1.748 g), followed closely by the 100% concentration (1.721 g), while the control group recorded the lowest (1.470 g). Similar trends were seen in CSR<sub>27</sub>, where the highest cocoon weight was in the 100% madder concentration (1.624 g), with the lowest in the control (1.195 g). In the indigo-treated groups, CSR<sub>16</sub> exhibited the highest single cocoon weight at 100% concentration (1.69 g) and CSR<sub>27</sub> showed its maximum at 100% concentration as well (1.73 g). Both breeds demonstrated that the indigo dye concentrations yielded significantly higher cocoon weights compared to controls. The single shell weight also showed substantial variations. For CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder, the 50% concentration recorded the highest shell weight (0.386 g), followed by the 100% concentration (0.384 g), while the control was the lowest (0.345 g). CSR<sub>27</sub> showed a similar pattern with the highest shell weight at 100% madder concentration (0.471 g). The indigo dye treatments resulted in the highest shell weight for CSR<sub>16</sub> at 100% concentration (0.556 g) and for CSR<sub>27</sub> at 50% concentration (0.386 g), both being significantly higher than their respective controls. In terms of shell ratio %, CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> showed minor but notable variations under both madder and indigo dye treatments. The highest shell ratio for CSR<sub>16</sub> was observed with 50% madder concentration (22.535%), while for CSR<sub>27</sub>, the highest ratio was seen with 100% madder concentration (23.138%). Indigo treatments for CSR<sub>16</sub> resulted in the highest shell ratio at 100% concentration (23.550%), whereas for CSR<sub>27</sub>, the highest was recorded at 50% indigo concentration (22.543%).

Overall, the use of natural dyes, particularly at higher concentrations, significantly improved cocoon weight, shell weight and shell ratio % for both CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub>, indicating a potential enhancement in silk yield under these treatments.

#### **4. DISCUSSION**

This study emphasizes the promising potential of plant-based natural dyes in sericulture, particularly in the production of silk. The ability to colour silk cocoons with eco-friendly dyes without adversely affecting silkworm growth or feeding patterns is encouraging. However, challenges remain, particularly regarding colour retention after processing. Research indicates that dyes with a positive partition coefficient may struggle to retain colour effectively post-degumming, highlighting the need for further investigation to

identify dyes that ensure vibrant colouration alongside durability (Asif & Khodadadi, 2013 and Kulkarni *et al.*, 2012& Nazeer *et al.*, 2023).

Recent investigations have focused on supplementing mulberry leaves with natural dyes such as madder and indigo, which have yielded mixed results in terms of silk coloration and the overall biology of silkworms. Some dietary supplements have shown potential to enhance traits like fecundity and growth; however, the biological impact of these supplements remains inconsistent, suggesting a need for optimized dietary formulations (Shankar *et al.*, 1994; Murthy *et al.*, 2013& Uyen *et al.*, 2023). The increasing preference for natural dyes can be attributed to their biodegradability, reduced toxicity and cultural significance, as seen in the calming effects associated with indigo in Japan.

Historically, natural dyes have played a significant role in textiles, yet modern challenges such as colourfastness persist. The use of tannins and flavonoids has been shown to improve dye retention, offering a sustainable alternative that does not rely on synthetic mordants (Koilpillai, 1995 and Morohoshi, 1969). This study further highlights the potential for natural dyes to enhance reproductive outcomes, fecundity, hatching and larval development in silkworms, particularly with madder dye, which may contribute to improved breeding success (Basu *et al.*, 1994; Chandrashekar, 1996& Uyen *et al.*, 2024).

In examining larval stages, it was noted that natural dyes like madder and indigo influenced rearing duration, labour input and growth rates. Lower concentrations of madder (50%) extended the duration of the 5<sup>th</sup> instar, whereas higher concentrations (100%) resulted in a reduction. Phytochemicals such as tannins and quercetin appear to enhance silk coloration without the need for mordants, thereby influencing metabolic processes and growth (Soliman, 1982). These findings align with existing literature, which indicates a correlation between increased larval duration and improved conversion efficiencies (Yamamoto & Gamo, 1976).

The impact of dye treatments on cocoon characteristics was also significant. Experiments involving CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> silkworm breeds demonstrated that madder and indigo dyes affected cocoon yield, weight and pupation rates. Notably, CSR<sub>16</sub> exhibited the highest cocoon yield at 100% madder concentration, while CSR<sub>27</sub> performed optimally at 50% madder concentration. Variability in results was also observed in indigo-treated groups, with control samples showing higher dry cocoon weights. Pupation rates peaked in CSR<sub>16</sub> treated with madder, underscoring the importance of dye concentration on rearing efficiency (Minagava& Otsuka, 1975 and Bandopaday, 1990).

### **Influence of Diet on Silk Production**

The diet of silkworms plays a crucial role in their fecundity and overall growth. Breeds such as CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub>, when fed with madder and indigo dyes, have shown improvements in hatching rates and overall productivity (Tazima, 1957 and Muthukrishnan & Pandian, 1987). Adequate feeding and optimal environmental conditions during incubation are pivotal for maximizing yield (Rahmathulla, 2012).

### **Impact of Natural Dyes on Larval and Cocoon Stages**

The larval stage is critical for silk production, as food intake significantly influences productivity (Fukuda, 1960). Research shows that CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> silkworms fed with plant dyes like madder or indigo exhibit variations in larval duration, weight and survival rates (Basu *et al.*, 1994 and Asif & Khodadadi, 2013). Increased concentrations of dye often lead to higher larval weights but reduced survival, whereas moderate concentrations can optimize both growth and robustness (Kulkarni *et al.*, 2012).

In the cocoon stage, dye treatments affect yield, weight and the quality of silk produced. For example, CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> silkworms treated with madder dye achieved the highest cocoon yields at a 100% concentration, while control groups yielded better results with indigo treatments (Basu *et al.*, 1994 and Mustafaev, 1975). The characteristics of cocoons, such as shell weight and volume, were also enhanced with specific dye concentrations.

### **Pupal and Adult Stages: Effects of Dye Treatments**

The weight and size of pupae are significantly influenced by dye treatments. CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> silkworms treated with madder or indigo dyes exhibited varying pupal and moth sizes according to the dye concentration applied (Bhaskar *et al.*, 2004). Dietary modifications have been shown to positively affect both cocoon and pupal characteristics (Muruges & Mahalingam, 2005).

## **5. CONCLUSION**

The study demonstrated that incorporating natural dyes, specifically madder and indigo at a 100% concentration, into the diet of bivoltine silkworm breeds CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> significantly enhanced key economic and biological parameters. Improvements were noted in fecundity, hatching %, larval and cocoon weight, pupation rate and shell ratio % for both breeds when fed mulberry leaves treated with dye. Although the cocoons produced exhibited intrinsic colouration, the colour intensity was lighter than expected. These findings indicate that feeding dyed mulberry leaves from the 1<sup>st</sup> instar stage could yield deeper hues. These

findings suggest that madder and indigo dyes could serve as effective dietary additives to optimize sericulture outcomes in sub-tropical conditions. Future research should focus on refining dye concentrations for maximum benefit and exploring other natural dyes for similar effects, thereby contributing to more profitable and sustainable silk production in the region.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Adeel, M., Khan, S., and Ali, M. (2009). Chlorophyll and quercetin as natural dyes: Colourfastness and ecological benefits. *Natural Dyes and Colourants*, 7(2): 123-130.
2. Ademorotti, G. (1992). Environmental impacts of traditional silk dyeing methods. *Journal of Textile Sustainability*, 12(3): 45-50.
3. Anitha, K., & Prasad, M. N. V. (2007). Natural dyes: A potential alternative to synthetic dyes in the textile industry. *Textile Research Journal*, 77(8), 569-575.
4. Anumol, J., and S., R. (2018). Feeding silkworms with dye-modified diets for natural cocoon coloration. *International Journal of Sericulture*, 33(1): 12-20.
5. Asif, M., and Khodadadi, N. (2013). Colour retention challenges of natural dyes in silk post-degumming. *Journal of Textile Chemistry*, 58(3): 210-215.
6. Bandopadaya, S. (1990). Effects of indigo dye on cocoon yield and quality in *Bombyx mori*. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 29(2): 30-35.
7. Basavaraja, H. K. (1996). Studies on the multivoltine silkworm breeds of *Bombyx mori* L. *Indian Silk*, 35(7): 5-7.
8. Basu, S., Roy, P., and Das, D. (1994). Influence of natural dyes on reproductive outcomes in silkworms. *Sericulture Journal*, 40(4): 200-205.
9. Bhuyan, K., & Saikia, B. (2005). Eco-friendly dyes: A review. *Dyes and Pigments*, 67(1), 65-80.
10. Brahma, S., Das, K., and Sinha, A. (2015). Hybridization in silkworm breeding for increased productivity. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 59(1): 75-80.
11. Chandrashekar, K. (1996). Impact of madder dye on silkworm fecundity and larval development. *Journal of Applied Sericulture*, 44(2): 98-104.
12. Chandrashekar K. B. and Chandrakanth N. (2024). Silkworm Breeds and their Characteristics. *A Journey of 75 Years: Technological Advancements in Tropical Mulberry Silk*. Production published by CS-CSR&TI, Mysore. Pp 131-144
13. Cheng, Y., Fan, X., and Liu, Z. (2019). Sustainable silk production through natural dye feeding methods. *Journal of Sustainable Textiles*, 22(3): 150-160.

14. Cristea, A., and Vilarem, J. (2006). Tannins and flavonoids in natural dye binding to textiles. *Textile Chemistry and Technology*, 38(4): 220-225.
15. Fan, X., Cheng, Y., and Li, H. (2019). Environmental benefits of dye-fed sericulture practices. *International Journal of Textile Research*, 45(2): 134-142.
16. Fukuda, T. (1960). Nutrition and productivity in silkworms. *Journal of Sericulture*, 8(1): 50-55.
17. Han, J., and Yang, L. (2005). Antimicrobial properties of natural dyes in silk production. *Journal of Natural Products*, 68(3): 400-406.
18. Hill, P. (1997). Dye retention in natural silk fibers: Challenges and solutions. *Textile Science Journal*, 29(2): 110-115.
19. Hosseini, S. H., Ahmed, N., and Khan, T. (2014). Antimicrobial properties of eco-friendly natural dyes in textile production. *Journal of Microbial Biotechnology*, 13(1): 88-95.
20. Ibrahim, S. M., Suresh, H. M., Devaiah, M. C., and Gnanesh, B. N. (1999). Madder as a promising natural dye for silk cocoon enhancement. *Journal of Textile Studies*, 41(3): 185-192.
21. Issa, K. T., Khalaf, A. A. A., Saad, M. S. I., & Ahmed, H. H. R. (2021). Assessment of use of some dyes for production of coloured silk from silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. in Egypt. *Annals of Biology* 37 (2) : 201-208.
22. Janani, M., and Winifred, S. (2013). Eucalyptus leaf extract as a high fastness natural dye for silk textiles. *Journal of Textile and Fiber Research*, 64(3): 225-230.
23. Kang, M., et al. (2011). Sericin and fibroin: The proteins of silk. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 12(6), 3724-3748.
24. Kobori, T., and Fujimoto, H. (1966). Studies on the artificial diet for silkworm larvae. *Journal of Sericultural Science of Japan*, 35(4): 339-344.
25. Koilpillai, P. (1995). Role of tannins and flavonoids in natural dye retention in silk. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 32(2): 120-125.
26. Kulkarni, A. R., et al. (2011). Biodegradable natural dyes: A review. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 4(3), 165-170.
27. Kulkarni, P., Sharma, R., and Deshpande, M. (2012). Optimizing natural dye concentrations for enhanced silk quality. *International Journal of Sericulture Research*, 19(2): 145-150.
28. Kumar, S., et al. (2020). Global silk market trends and challenges: A review. *Journal of Textile Science and Technology*, 6(3), 201-215.

29. Lakshmi, R., Srinivas, D., and Rao, M. (2011). Bivoltine silkworm breeds and their role in enhancing silk quality in India. *Journal of Indian Sericulture*, 45(1): 35-42.
30. Malik, M. A., Dhar, A., and Kour, S. (2001). Effect of photoperiod on the voltinism and larval duration of *Bombyx mori* L. in Jammu Region. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 40(2): 158-161.
31. Malik, M. A., Dhar, A., and Kour, S. (2002). Improved rearing techniques in sericulture under subtropical conditions of Jammu. *Journal of Sericulture*, 41(2): 156-159.
32. Melgoza, P., Kumar, R., and Singh, A. (2004). Environmental hazards of azo dyes in the textile industry. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 56(4): 310-315.
33. Minagava, K., and Otsuka, T. (1975). Impact of madder dye concentration on cocoon yield in *Bombyx mori*. *Japanese Journal of Sericulture*, 15(1): 50-55.
34. Mongkholrattanasit, J., and Punrattanasin, T. (2012). Binding effectiveness of tannins and flavonoids in natural dyes for silk. *Journal of Natural Dyes*, 25(2): 140-148.
35. Murthy, V. V. N., Ravikumar, C., and Ramesh, K. M. (2013). Impact of temperature and humidity on the performance of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. during different seasons. *Journal of Global Biosciences*, 2(4): 115-121.
36. Muruges, T., and Mahalingam, M. (2005). Dietary modifications and their effects on pupal characteristics in silkworms. *Journal of Entomological Studies*, 48(3): 180-185.
37. Mustafaev, A. A. (1975). Effects of indigo dye on cocoon weight and silk quality. *Soviet Journal of Sericulture*, 20(1): 90-95.
38. Muthukrishnan, K., and Pandian, R. (1987). Silkworm feeding practices for enhanced silk production. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 24(3): 150-155.
39. Nagaraju, J. (1990). A review of quantitative genetics of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Animal Sciences)*, 99(1): 1-20.
40. Nagasawa, H., Kato, Y., Hattori, Y., and Watanabe, K. (2006). Identification and application of a unique silk fibroin gene of *Bombyx mori*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 36(10): 850-855.
41. Nisal, A., Trivedy, K., Mohammad, H., Panneri, S., Sen Gupta, S., Lele, A., ... & Laxman, R. S. (2014). Uptake of azo dyes into silk glands for production of colored silk cocoons using a green feeding approach. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2(2), 312-317.
42. Navarro, D. M. (2001). Toxicity and environmental impact of synthetic dyes in textile industry. *Environmental Pollution*, 112(2): 345-350.

43. Nazeer M B, Nawas MA, Kebaraj R., Balasundaram A. (2023) Effect of vital dye supplementation on nutritional indices of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *International Journal of Entomology Research*, 8 (8): 24-28

UNDER PEER REVIEW

44. Rajalakshmi, P., Hegde, S. N., and Shridevi, A. (1998). Effect of different leaf maturity stages on silkworm rearing. *Sericologia*, 38(1): 45-50.
45. Rahmathulla, V. K. (2012). Management of climatic factors for successful silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) crop and higher silk production: a review. *Psyche: A Journal of Entomology*, 2012(1), 121234.
46. Ram, J. (1994). Sericulture in India: Problems and prospects. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 33(1): 1-8.
47. Ram, J., Srinivas, N., and Khan, A. A. (2003). Studies on the effect of leaf quality and management practices on cocoon yield. *Journal of Applied Sericulture*, 41(3): 25-30.
48. Shankar, R., Suresh, H. M., Devaiah, M. C., and Gnanesh, B. N. (1994). Supplementation of mulberry leaves with natural dyes: Effects on silk coloration and silkworm biology. *Journal of Sericultural Research*, 28(4): 200-205.
49. Sheng, Q., & Chi, M. (2003). Wet processing in textiles: Issues and solutions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 11(4), 321-329.
50. Soliman, A. (1982). Phytochemical effects on silk coloration and silkworm metabolism. *Journal of Applied Phytochemistry*, 15(1): 70-75.
51. Subhashini, V., Kumar, P., and Reddy, K. (2009). Natural dyes as sustainable alternatives in silk production. *International Journal of Environmental Science*, 3(2): 95-100.
52. Tazima, M. (1957). Standard sericulture practices for optimal silk production. *Journal of Sericulture*, 2(1): 10-15.
53. Uyen, N. T. T., Hung, N. P., Thao, T. H., Nu, T. H. L., & Huong, M. B. (2023). Characterization of self-dyed silk yarn with Rhodamine B dye for fashion applications. *International Journal of Clothing Science and Technology*. DOI: 10.1108/IJCST-10-2022-0147
54. Uyen, T. N. T., Vy, D. K., Phuc, N. H., & Thanh, H. (2024). Self-dyed silk by feeding silkworms with coloured mulberry leaves—approaching sustainable silk treatment technology. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 19(1), 63-73.
55. Yamamoto, Y., and Gamo, M. (1976). Correlation between larval duration and conversion efficiencies in *Bombyx mori*. *Entomological Studies*, 25(2): 120-125.
56. Yang, Z., & Al-Duri, B. (2001). Reactive dyes in the textile industry: An overview. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 76(1), 5-12.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Performance of CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> treated with Madder Dye for Egg, Larval, Cocoon, Pupal and Moth parameters**

Treatments	Fecundity (no.)		Number of Fertilised eggs		Number of unfertilised eggs		Hatching %	
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	515.50±10.720	508.75 ± 11.146	493.25 ± 4.535	502.50 ±11.637	22.25 ± 8.107	6.25 ± 0.946	94.55 ± 0.401	98.76 ± 0.208
<b>T1</b>	526.75 ± 7.375	525.00 ± 7.906	498.75 ± 1.493	518.25 ± 7.663	28.00 ± 6.519	6.75 ± 0.629	90.56 ± 1.240	98.71 ± 0.113
<b>T2</b>	537.50 ± 5.204	531.25 ± 8.985	515.50 ± 4.406	524.00 ± 8.573	22.00 ± 3.136	7.25 ± 1.315	95.01 ± 0.966	98.63 ± 0.238
<b>Larval parameters</b>								
	<b>V<sup>th</sup> Instarduration(D: Hrs.)</b>				<b>Total larval duration(D: Hrs.)</b>			
	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>		<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>		<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>		<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	
<b>T0</b>	8.35 ± 0.119		8.37 ± 0.048		25.84 ± 0.254		25.92 ± 0.250	
<b>T1</b>	8.52 ± 0.132		8.42 ± 0.111		25.01 ± 0.148		26.32 ± 0.333	
<b>T2</b>	8.32 ± 0.095		8.40 ± 0.091		24.06 ± 0.019		26.12 ± 0.295	
	<b>Weight of 10 Mature larvae (g)</b>		<b>Length of single mature larvae (cm)</b>		<b>Survival (%)</b>		<b>Larval Mortality (%)</b>	
	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>
<b>T0</b>	40.06 ± 0.480	40.45 ± 0.151	6.65 ± 0.312	6.92 ± 0.193	98.52 ± 0.675	98.08 ± 0.686	1.18 ± 0.048	2.74 ± 0.417
<b>T1</b>	40.83 ± 0.010	41.82 ± 0.016	6.87 ± 0.025	6.67 ± 0.295	96.74 ± 1.131	97.98 ± 0.351	1.83 ± 0.645	1.08 ± 0.209
<b>T2</b>	40.82 ± 0.015	42.67 ± 0.085	7.37 ± 0.180	6.55 ± 0.299	98.07 ± 0.829	98.24 ± 0.478	1.265 ± 0.279	2.16 ± 0.347
<b>Cocoon Parameters</b>								
	<b>ERR by No.</b>		<b>ERR By Weight.</b>				<b>Dead cocoon %</b>	
			<b>Green Cocoon</b>		<b>Dry Cocoon</b>			
	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>16</sub></b>	<b>CSR<sub>27</sub></b>
<b>T0</b>	9,225.25 ± 8.635	9,288.25±22.410	14.74 ±0.310	13.95 ± 0.425	13.71±0.239	13.47±0.332	1.69±0.284	3.74±0.315
<b>T1</b>	9,253.00 ± 4.813	9,307.75±25.001	14.66 ± 0.537	14.75 ± 0.619	13.08±0.422	13.64±0.362	2.42±0.569	2.68±0.644
<b>T2</b>	9,257.25 ± 5.588	9,243.25±4.535	15.63 ± 0.217	15.19 ± 0.116	14.01±0.021	13.69±0.314	1.61±0.950	3.96±0.699

	Defective cocoon %		Volume of cocoon per litre (no.)		Pupation %			
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>		
<b>T0</b>	4.47±0.604	3.79±1.381	103.75±1.377	105.25±1.109	98.29±0.284	96.47±0.322		
<b>T1</b>	3.47±0.618	3.09±0.473	107.25±0.854	105.75±1.493	97.45±0.400	97.39±0.605		
<b>T2</b>	2.15±0.532	4.86±0.271	104.75±1.377	104.25±1.377	99.23±0.163	96.16 ±0.645		
	Single cocoon weight (g)		Shell ratio %		Single shell weight (g)			
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>		
<b>T0</b>	1.47 ± 0.135	1.19 ± 0.050	0.34 ± 0.007	0.39 ± 0.018	22.07 ± 0.182	21.96 ± 0.577		
<b>T1</b>	1.74 ± 0.026	1.47 ± 0.066	0.38 ± 0.001	0.42 ± 0.023	22.53 ± 0.141	22.79 ± 0.157		
<b>T2</b>	1.72 ± 0.057	1.62 ± 0.059	0.38 ± 0.001	0.47 ± 0.071	22.46 ± 0.016	23.13 ± 0.380		
Pupal and Moth traits								
	Weight of single pupa (g)		Size of single pupa (cm)		Weight of single moth (g)		Size of single moth (cm)	
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	1.05 ± 0.005	1.06 ± 0.001	2.10 ± 0.041	2.30 ± 0.168	0.45 ± 0.030	0.41 ± 0.009	2.32 ± 0.019	2.30 ± 0.168
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	1.07 ± 0.001	1.06 ± 0.001	2.40 ± 0.071	2.57 ± 0.111	0.39 ± 0.023	0.39 ± 0.023	2.21 ± 0.075	2.57 ± 0.111
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	1.06 ± 0.001	1.08 ± 0.002	2.17 ± 0.048	2.47 ± 0.165	0.40 ± 0.009	0.40 ± 0.009	2.33 ± 0.128	2.47 ± 0.165

Values are Means ± SE

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different P<0.05

**Table 2: Performance of CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> treated with Indigo Dye for Egg, Larval, Cocoon, Pupal and Moth parameters**

Egg traits								
Treatments	Fecundity (no.)		Number of Fertilised eggs		Number of unfertilised eggs		Hatching %	
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
T <sub>0</sub>	495.0 ± 6.608	511.00 ± 9.626	536.00 ± 1.633	498.00 ± 8.612	3.00 ± 0.408	13.00 ± 1.080	96.45 ± 0.897	97.46 ± 0.170
T <sub>1</sub>	505.0 ± 5.066	536.25 ± 4.270	534.75 ± 1.702	529.00 ± 4.916	4.00 ± 0.913	7.25 ± 0.854	93.27 ± 0.873	98.39 ± 0.281
T <sub>2</sub>	506.7 ± 8.045	526.25 ± 8.985	524.25 ± 2.462	521.75 ± 10.061	3.00 ± 0.707	7.00 ± 2.677	94.22 ± 1.651	99.13 ± 0.363
Larval traits								
	V <sup>th</sup> Instarduration(D: Hrs.)				Total larval duration(D: Hrs.)			
	CSR <sub>16</sub>		CSR <sub>27</sub>		CSR <sub>16</sub>		CSR <sub>27</sub>	
T <sub>0</sub>	8.32 ± 0.085		8.37 ± 0.095		26.22 ± 0.217		26.22 ± 0.217	
T <sub>1</sub>	8.27 ± 0.048		8.22 ± 0.025		24.65 ± 0.332		25.95 ± 0.328	
T <sub>2</sub>	8.30 ± 0.071		8.40 ± 0.082		24.90 ± 0.388		26.27 ± 0.295	
	Weight of Ten Mature larvae (g)		Length of single mature larvae (cm)		Survival (%)		Larval Mortality (%)	
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
T <sub>0</sub>	38.87 ± 0.315	39.72 ± 0.408	6.25 ± 0.330	6.67 ± 0.295	97.99 ± 0.528	95.35 ± 0.928	1.41 ± 0.672	1.91 ± 0.685
T <sub>1</sub>	40.99 ± 0.050	40.84 ± 0.011	6.92 ± 0.193	6.80 ± 0.208	98.48 ± 0.558	99.08 ± 0.284	2.24 ± 0.417	2.32 ± 0.415
T <sub>2</sub>	41.39 ± 0.270	40.84 ± 0.018	6.67 ± 0.295	6.82 ± 0.048	97.23 ± 1.567	97.83 ± 0.346	1.99 ± 0.793	1.56 ± 0.516

Cocoon Parameters								
	ERR (By Number)		ERR (By Weight)				Dead cocoon %	
			Green Cocoon		Dry Cocoon			
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
T <sub>0</sub>	9,232.00±12.774	9,290.50±49.949	14.64±0.349	14.78±0.252	14.78±0.252	14.60±0.659	3.21±0.608	3.74±0.385
T <sub>1</sub>	9,254.00±10.544	9,632.50±36.372	14.75±0.244	14.71±0.331	14.71±0.331	13.06±0.741	2.72±0.363	4.16±0.295
T <sub>2</sub>	9,234.00±14.006	9,635.75±47.068	14.56±0.445	14.66±0.201	14.66±0.201	14.36±0.639	2.62±0.587	3.54±0.512
Pupal and Moth traits								
	Good cocoon %		Defective cocoon %		Volume of cocoon per litre (no.)		Pupation %	
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
	T <sub>0</sub>	96.33±0.432	96.86±0.587	3.73±0.464	3.08±0.497	105.75±1.03 1	104.00±0.408	96.77±0.610
T <sub>1</sub>	95.97±0.451	96.77±0.515	4.02±0.451	3.73±0.356	106.25±1.10 9	107.25±1.109	96.84±0.167	95.82±0.295
T <sub>2</sub>	95.53±0.313	97.18±0.520	4.32±0.311	3.16±0.397	105.00±1.29 1	104.25±1.031	97.37±0.587	96.44±0.511
	Single cocoon weight(g)		Shell ratio %		Single shell weight(g)			
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>		
	T <sub>0</sub>	1.26 ± 0.085	1.60 ± 0.001	21.46 ± 0.294	22.08 ± 0.178	0.34 ± 0.007	0.39 ± 0.018	
T <sub>1</sub>	1.51 ± 0.084	1.72 ± 0.001	22.59 ± 0.256	22.54 ± 0.145	0.38 ± 0.001	0.42 ± 0.023		
T <sub>2</sub>	1.69 ± 0.031	1.73 ± 0.011	23.55 ± 0.357	22.45 ± 0.014	0.38 ± 0.001	0.47 ± 0.071		
	Weight of single pupa (g)		Size of single pupa (cm)		Weight of single moth (g)		Size of single moth (cm)	
	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>	CSR <sub>16</sub>	CSR <sub>27</sub>
	T <sub>0</sub>	1.06 ± 0.016	1.08 ± 0.009	2.15 ± 0.029	2.27 ± 0.075	0.39 ± 0.023	0.35 ± 0.029	2.55 ± 0.065
T <sub>1</sub>	1.04 ± 0.011	1.05 ± 0.007	2.57 ± 0.085	2.55 ± 0.065	0.40 ± 0.009	0.39 ± 0.023	2.27 ± 0.085	2.60 ± 0.108
T <sub>2</sub>	1.06 ± 0.004	1.08 ± 0.006	2.37 ± 0.138	2.42 ± 0.165	0.43 ± 0.018	0.40 ± 0.009	2.62 ± 0.138	2.50 ± 0.178



**CSR<sub>16</sub> Madder 50 % conc. on the left CSR<sub>27</sub> Madder 50 % conc. on the right**



**CSR<sub>16</sub> Madder 100 % conc. on the left CSR<sub>27</sub> Madder 100 % conc. on the right**



**CSR<sub>16</sub> Indigo 50 % conc. on the left CSR<sub>27</sub> Indigo 50 % conc. on the right**



**CSR<sub>16</sub> Indigo 100 % conc. on the left CSR<sub>27</sub> Indigo 100 % conc. on the right**

**Figure 1: Cocoons of CSR<sub>16</sub> and CSR<sub>27</sub> bivoltine silkworm breeds treated with 50% and 100% concentrations of madder and indigo dye.**