

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125199
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Therapeutic Response of Different Antibiotics in Subclinical Staphylococcal Mastitis in Goats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is highly valuable to the scientific community as it addresses the critical issue of subclinical Staphylococcal mastitis in goats, a condition that can significantly affect milk quality and yield. By evaluating the therapeutic response of different antibiotics, the study provides crucial insights into effective treatment strategies, which can improve animal welfare and boost productivity in the dairy sector. I appreciate this manuscript for its practical implications, as it offers direct benefits to farmers by potentially reducing economic losses associated with mastitis. Additionally, the research contributes to enhancing our understanding of antibiotic efficacy, which is vital for managing antimicrobial resistance.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>"Comparative Evaluation of Antibiotic Therapies for Subclinical Staphylococcal Mastitis in Goats"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>need to change the abstract according to the modifications made in the manuscript</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, as it researcher well-established methodologies to evaluate the effectiveness of different antibiotic therapies for subclinical Staphylococcal mastitis in goats</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Please add recent references</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication; however, some minor revisions are necessary to enhance clarity and readability. Certain sentences could benefit from restructuring to improve flow and coherence. Additionally, attention to grammar, punctuation, and word choice in specific sections will further strengthen the manuscript. Overall, with these minor adjustments, the article will be well-prepared for academic publication.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachana Sharma
Department, University & Country	GADVASU, India