

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125197
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Standard Chrysanthemum Genotypes for Morphological and Floral attributes in Terai region of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the performance of different chrysanthemum cultivars in the Terai region of West Bengal, which has unique climatic conditions. The study addresses a crucial gap in floriculture research by identifying genotypes that are suitable for commercial cultivation, potentially aiding farmers and floriculturists in selecting the best cultivars for cut flowers and pot plants.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article provides a general overview of the study, including the aims, location, methodology, and some key results. And need to compile in uniform format as they shows in heading. However, there are areas where it could be improved for greater clarity and comprehensiveness. Here are some suggestions for deletion: repetitions the importance of selecting suitable cultivars for the Terai region and "While choosing suitable cultivars is an important part of export-focused commercial floriculture" could be omitted or condensed, as they don't directly convey the study's specific contributions.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Results: Focus solely on presenting the data and findings without interpretation. Tables: Ensure that each table and figure is properly referenced in the text and adds value to the narrative. A section highlighting key findings from the tables and figures can also be useful. Overall, the manuscript follows a logical flow and standard structure, but a few adjustments could improve its readability and clarity.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its well-structured experimental design and the use of appropriate statistical analysis. The randomized block design, with replicates, ensures that the results are reliable and accounts for variability in environmental conditions, which is crucial for evaluating plant cultivars. Additionally, the study uses a significant number of Chrysanthemum cultivars, providing a comprehensive comparison that strengthens the validity of its conclusions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript seem to cover a range of studies related to chrysanthemum evaluation, growth parameters, and floricultural practices. However, some aspects could be added like recent studies on Chrysanthemum cultivation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to use some technical language relevant to horticulture and floriculture is appropriate for the target audience, demonstrating a good grasp of the subject matter.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pawan K. Tete
Department, University & Country	Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, India