

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125197
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Standard Chrysanthemum Genotypes for Morphological and Floral attributes in Terai region of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by addressing the gap in research on chrysanthemum cultivars suitable for commercial cultivation in the Terai region of West Bengal. The evaluation of various cultivars not only provides valuable data for floriculturists but also highlights the potential for enhancing local agricultural practices and improving economic outcomes for growers. Additionally, the study's focus on scientifically robust methods and clear statistical analysis adds credibility to its findings, making it a valuable resource for future research in floriculture. Overall, the manuscript is well-structured and informative, offering insights that can benefit both researchers and practitioners in the field.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title "Evaluation of Standard Chrysanthemum Genotypes for Morphological and Floral Attributes in Terai Region of West Bengal" is suitable but could benefit from slight modifications for clarity and conciseness.</p> <p>A suggested alternative title could be: "Assessment of Standard of the plant Genus Chrysanthemum Genotypes for Morphological and Floral Traits in the Terai Region of West Bengal."</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, covering the study's aims, location, methods, results, and conclusions. However, here are some suggestions for improvement:</p> <p>Suggestions for Addition:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Objectives: Clearly state the specific objectives or hypotheses at the beginning to provide context for the study.</li> <li>2. Statistical Analysis: Briefly mention the specific statistical tests used to analyze the data, which adds credibility and detail to the methodology.</li> <li>3. Key Findings: While some results are mentioned, highlighting a couple of key findings more explicitly could enhance the impact. For example, emphasize the significance of the best-performing cultivars.</li> </ol> <p>Suggestions for Deletion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repetitive Information: Avoid repeating phrases like "economically important" and "economically favored," as they can be condensed for clarity.</li> <li>2. Excessive Detail: Consider reducing the length of the list of cultivars in the materials section, focusing instead on the most relevant ones for the results discussion.</li> </ol> <p>Overall Impression:</p> <p>By making these adjustments, the abstract will provide a clearer and more concise overview of the study, enhancing its accessibility to readers.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its clear methodological framework and rigorous statistical analysis. The use of a completely randomized block design ensures that the results are reliable and minimizes bias, while the application of one-way ANOVA provides a solid basis for assessing the significance of the findings. Additionally, the comprehensive evaluation of a diverse range of chrysanthemum cultivars enhances the study's relevance to commercial floriculture. Overall, the systematic approach and thorough data analysis lend credibility to the conclusions drawn, making this manuscript a valuable contribution to the field.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references provided are extensive and cover a range of studies relevant to chrysanthemum research. While the references are largely sufficient, ensuring the inclusion of recent studies will strengthen the manuscript further.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>the language quality is suitable for scholarly communication. If any areas fall short, consider revising for clarity, checking for grammatical errors, or seeking assistance from a language editor to enhance overall quality.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Mohamad Mahmoud Abou Auda</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Al Aqsa University, Palestine</b></p>