

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125787
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT OF PLANT DISEASES WITH GREEN SYNTHESIZED NANOPARTICLES USING PLANT EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I have reviewed the manuscript titled “Management of plant diseases with green synthesized nanoparticles using plant extracts” after careful consideration, I regret to recommend its rejection for publication. My decision is based on the following significant concerns</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lack of Clarity in the Abstract: The abstract fails to provide a concise and clear summary of the study. It does not effectively convey the purpose, methodology, or key findings of the research, making it difficult for readers to grasp the manuscript's main contributions. 2. Insufficient Depth in the Introduction: The introduction section lacks clarity and depth. The authors have not adequately explained the context, significance, or objectives of their study. As a result, the rationale for the research and the specific gap it aims to fill are unclear. 3. Unoriginal and Poor-Quality Figures: The images and figures, such as those depicting XRD, FTIR, and UV-Visible spectrometer data, are not of adequate quality. Moreover, it appears that these images have been directly copied from other sources without proper attribution or adaptation. <p>Given these issues, the manuscript in its current form does not meet the standards required for publication. I recommend that the authors consider a thorough revision of their manuscript, including rewriting the abstract, expanding and clarifying the introduction and generating original, high-quality figures. Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer’s comment</p>	<p>Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Minchitha K U</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Jyothy Institute of Technology Campus, India</p>