

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_125507
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Content Relevance of Gender-based Violence News Articles in Tanzanian Newspapers on Reducing the Problem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of this manuscript is very relevant and current. The study can directly benefit the community with an increased understanding of the role of media in engaging with GBV stories. It is in alignment with current societal issues in Tanzania but will be useful for other communities as well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is descriptive and appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written. However, it is suggested to include the justification of the relevance of the topic, and mention the theories on which the study is based.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure are quite organically organised.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript makes use of data from surveys to support arguments through a triangulation method. The study is done meticulously. All possible aspects are covered both in terms of qualitative and quantitative analyses. The conclusions are objectively drawn from empirical evidence.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are minor grammatical issues in certain areas but overall it is clearly communicated in a language that is easy to understand .	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The introduction presents the relevance of the topic and builds the argument quite well. However, certain theories like the priming theory and framing theory were mentioned only towards the concluding part of the assessment. It will be good to mention the premise of these theories at the beginning as well so that the hypothesis is established and the framework of the study is clear. This will help connect with the conclusion where the theories are referenced again.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vizovono Elizabeth
Department, University & Country	India