

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_125507
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing the Content Relevance of Gender-based Violence News Articles in Tanzanian Newspapers on Reducing the Problem</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is significant to the scientific community as it addresses a crucial social issue, gender-based violence (GBV) and its portrayal in Tanzanian newspapers. The manuscript titled "Assessing the Content Relevance of Gender-based Violence News Articles in Tanzanian Newspapers on Reducing the Problem" provides valuable insights into how media reports on GBV in Tanzania. The study's findings are concerning, revealing that GBV articles are scarcely reported and often lack relevance, with only 2% of articles addressing the issue. This limited coverage reduces the potential impact of these news pieces in raising awareness or driving social change. Despite some geographical relevance, the low reader satisfaction highlights the need for improvement. The recommendations to create dedicated GBV desks and train specialized reporters are particularly significant, as they offer practical solutions for enhancing the role of media in reducing gender-based violence.</p> <p><b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is fine.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is also fine. However, the researcher needs to check the reviewed file to track the changes in Abstract and in complete manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Some changes are recommended in the reviewed file. As the overall manuscript is very lengthy. There is a need to reduce the word count in results section and further needs to add the findings of the study.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>There are some kind of flaws related scientific point of view as framework is not guided by the researcher. For more details, please check the comments in the reviewed manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>References are sufficient. However, some references are very old. The researcher did not follow the APA 7.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The proof reading is recommended as there are too much grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. Most of sentences are incomplete and ambiguous.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In general, the researchers need to check the reviewed file and follow the comments and track the changes to incorporate the changes in the manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Imran Nazeer</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gujrat, Pakistan</b>