

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126287
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher Educators' Mental Stress towards Online Teaching
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I like the paper because it uses modern techniques of analysis.</p> <p>The paper has some problem with organization:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Its introduction is too long, and it reads like Literature Review whereas there is no heading called Literature Review. b) The term 'teacher educator' needs to be explained. c) The heading Methodology is also missing though its components are there (methods come under methodology) <p style="text-align: center;">SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title is short and crispy but the heading is not applicable everywhere.</p> <p>Teacher Educators' Mental Stress towards Online Teaching (in West Bengal)</p> <p>The research location is West Bengal, but it does not tell us about other states. So, the author needs to add research location in the title. The teachers in South India and metros in other states are very tech savvy, so it is better to avoid such a "broad title".</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Title is short and crispy and arouses curiosity because the title is not clear about who and what.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No. The paper needs to be organized properly.</p> <p>Proper headings are missing: Introduction and Literature Review Methodology Limitations of the Study (This should cover why the did not find age or quality of the institute important for the study.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I think the paper looks modern with its figures and diagrams, but the paper lacks the robustness of scientific evidence because it does not explain the meaning of teacher educator, the roles and responsibilities of the teacher educators, age and qualifications and about the type of institutions (meaning are these institutions equipped with modern technology because training institutes like British Council are equipped with modern technology and many schools are equipped with smart boards etc. So, the background of the study is missing.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>:</p>	<p>Not needed. Enough number of references are added with recent ones.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I have edited the article and uploaded.	
Optional/General comments	The paper needs a bit more content and organization.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shewli Hira
Department, University & Country	Swami Vivekananda Subharti University, India