

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_125331
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of New York City as a tourist destination
Type of the Article	Travel-Tourism article based on exploring perceptions of tourists about a destination

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	What makes this article unique is that, it discusses the factors that motivate travels to tourist destinations especially in the city centres (NYC) that keep on changing based on settlement and economic elements. It also discusses what sets NYC out as popular destination apart from other city-destinations in the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest; "Analysing the Perceptions about New York City as a Tourist Destination"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest the following addition: Most tourists perceive New York City as an iconic and glamorous city with a high energy level indicating a strong global identity and recognition of the city. However, high cost of living and overcrowding in NYC create a stress on balancing tourism infrastructure with visitor expectations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sub-sections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of IMRAD pattern lends credence to the scientific correctness of this article – Introduction, Methods, Analysis, Discussion and Conclusion. The following also make the manuscript technically sound: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective data analysis and interpretation • Clear research questions and one ultimate research goal • Use of Clarity and precision in research writing and terminology 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are fairly sufficient. I suggest that References should not be more than 15 years old from the date of the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The evidence of the copious use of citation of Avdić, 2024 in the literature review makes the review too monotonous; example, from the SWOT Analysis to the end of the work, Avdić, 2024, has been the only citation or reference made.</p> <p>I suggest that Figures representing Field Data collection under “Results” should be cited as; Source: Field Data, 2024 and not as; Source: Avdić, 2024. The latter suggests that the data is already existing as a secondary literature or data.</p> <p>Concerning the writing of Titles for the Figures under “Results”, one consistent house-style should be used: for example, Figure 3 (Figure 3. Place of residence) has the Title written above it while all the other Figures have the Titles written below them. Please, use one consistent style or rule for writing the title for Figures.</p> <p>Minor Corrections to Make: Under “introduction”, 3rd paragraph, third line, the word should change to “destinations” and not “destination” Under “Discussion & Conclusion” – First line, the first word should change to “This” and not “his”</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer’s comment</p>	<p>Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Nicholas Imbeah</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Takoradi Technical University, Ghana</p>