

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_124899
Title of the Manuscript:	Dew point characteristics at synoptic stations in northern Benin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A topic that is rarely discussed individually, but which is important, plays a vital role in plants hydration and health. Since the Republic of Benin has an economy based on agriculture, studies like this should be developed to support it. A broad statistical approach was used to support the results and understand the scenarios over the years.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I would like the summary not to be separated into topics. The justification for carrying out the work should explain more about what hydro and agro-climatological issues are in this context. The macro location of Benin could be stated, indicating in which region it is located and with which countries it borders. The conclusions could be better explained to enhance the work value.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is extensive statistical analysis of data. The historical series used are robust (insignificant gaps) and long enough for appropriate statistical analysis application. The social importance of this work for the study area is significant. The methodology used has the potential to be applied in other locations where agriculture is predominant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	In Methodology, it is necessary to present the references that support the use of the statistical analyses employed. In the rest of the work, the references are sufficient and recent.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need to revise the English for publication. There are numerous repetitions of "of the" and "in the" for example, often in the same sentence. The caption for Figure 8 is not in English.	
Optional/General comments	The study area should be better explained in the Methodology. The study area map should be more legible and without distortions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Izabel Rodrigues Leite
Department, University & Country	State University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil