

Original Research Article

Effects of Various Nitrogen Doses and Plant Growth Regulators on Nutrient Uptake and Grain Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

ABSTRACT

This field investigation was conducted at Research Farm of Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, during rabi season of 2019-20, to find out the effect of "Optimization of nitrogen doses for high yield potential of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)" with plant growth regulators. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design comparing ten treatment of different doses of NPK fertilizer with plant growth regulators namely chlormequat chloride and tebuconazole applied at 0.2% and 0.1% respectively and replicated three times. The obtained results revealed that application of 150% recommended doses of NPK showing higher value of available nitrogen (171.82 kg ha⁻¹), available phosphorus (27.01 kg ha⁻¹) and available potassium (192.27 kg ha⁻¹) content in soil after the harvest of wheat was significantly influenced by the application of different doses of NPK, while lowest value of all three major nutrients was recorded in control. The highest nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by wheat was also recorded with the 150% RDF of NPK with growth regulator. The yields (grain yield, straw yield and biological yield) were found to be significantly maximum in treatment T10 (150% NPK + PGRs spray) but at par with treatment T7 (100% RDF of NPK) as compare to other treatments. Hence, harvest index was recorded maximum (0.45) in treatment T8 (125% RDF of N with growth regulator) and treatment T9 (150% RDF of N + PGRs spray). The uptake of key nutrients, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium followed a similar pattern, with the highest levels observed when applying 150% recommended doses of NPK along with growth regulators. Additionally, optimal NPK application resulted in positive nutrient balance in the soil. This investigation suggests that managing nutrient with growth regulator in wheat is an economically viable and ecologically sound approach to improve both crop production and soil health through balanced nutrition.

Comment [ns1]: nutrient uptake and productivity of wheat Effect of nitrogen and plant growth regulators on

Comment [ns2]: A field experiment

Comment [ns3]: winter

Comment [ns4]: o

Comment [ns5]: and replicated thrice. The experiment

Comment [ns6]: comprised of

Comment [ns7]: viz

Comment [ns8]: experimental

Comment [ns9]: resulted significance

Comment [ns10]: nly

Comment [ns11]: significantly

Comment [ns12]: write down which growth regulator

Comment [ns13]: significantly highest grain and straw yield recorded with

Comment [ns14]: write down name of growth regulator

Comment [ns15]: which was

Comment [ns16]: significantly highest

Comment [ns17]: with

Comment [ns18]: which growth regulator

Comment [ns19]: with which growth regulator

Comment [ns20]: which growth regulator

Comment [ns21]: moreover

Comment [ns22]: recommend

Keywords: Fertilizer, Nutrient levels, nutrient uptake, PGR, wheat

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) originated from Southwest Asia and belongs to the family Poaceae. It's known for its abundant reserves of carbohydrates, distinctive protein profile, fat and minerals and also good source of vitamins such as thiamine and vit-B. Wheat is referred as the "king of cereals" and a crucial staple food crop for both human and animal, providing approximately half of the world's dietary calories. Among the world's food crops wheat ranks 4th in terms of production, it grown 217.02 million hectares and yield stunning 765.4 million metric tonnes worldwide. In India, wheat is cultivated on 31.45 million hectares of land, producing 107.592 million metric tons with a productivity of 3.42 metric tonnes/ha (USDA, 2020). In Bihar wheat is grown on more than 2 million hectares of land and produce 5-6 million tonnes. Wheat occupies 28% of the gross cropped area of Bihar and 70% of the sown area in the Rabi season [1]. The global call for wheat is estimated to reach around 840 MT by 2030 [2] and projected wheat production target for India by the year 2050 is approximately 140 MT. This prediction accounts for the increasing demand for consumption and trade caused by the growing population. Nutrient application in agricultural systems is expected to rise in the next years in order to produce more food, feed, and fiber on a limited piece of land. However this is a challenge to achieve optimum wheat production by maintaining a nutrient balanced ecosystem. Proper nutrient management is a critical factor influencing crop productivity [3]. Balanced nutrient application in crop production is a crucial step to achieving optimal growth and yield. Improper management in essential nutrients leads to yield reduction, increases susceptibility of diseases and pests, and compromised nutritional quality. Conventional practices of nutrient management only focus on the application of fertilizers to provide plants with the necessary elements for growth. However these approaches have sometimes led to nutrient imbalances in the soil, affecting not only crop performance but also environmental sustainability [2]. In order to address these challenges, modern agricultural research has explored innovative approaches aimed at optimized fertilizer use while conserving nutrient balance [2]. One of the most effective approaches to involves integration of plant growth regulators with judiciously optimized fertilizer levels. Plant growth regulators have been recently reported to enhance growth and yield of wheat [4]. Plant growth regulators are synthetic or naturally occurring compounds that influence the growth and development, including nutrient uptake, root development, and photosynthesis of plants in a targeted manner [2]. There are several phases during the growth cycle where PGRs could be applied for modification of plant growth and development. As a result, PGR's can be applied to modify plant growth and development at different phases of the growth cycle. Chlormequat, commonly known as ChlorCholineChloride (CCC), is a key agricultural growth regulator in many countries. It's an organic chloride salt and a quaternary ammonium salt. Chlormequat chloride is an organic chloride salt that accommodates equal amounts of chlormequat and chloride ions. It has a role as a plant growth retardant and an agrochemical. After the use of Chlormequat chloride, it can effectively control plant growth, shorten the internodes of plants, make plant short, strong, thick, roots developed, resistant lodging, also darkening leaf color, thickening leaves, increased chlorophyll content, and increased photosynthesis, which increase the percentage of fruit set in certain crops, improve quality, and increase yield. "By applying CCC at the beginning of stem elongation and the other PGRs at later stage, earlier to heading, cereal straw could be

Comment [ns23]: is an important

Comment [ns24]: cite this sentence with reference

Comment [ns25]: first

Comment [ns26]: on an area of about

Comment [ns27]: achieved

Comment [ns28]: of about

Comment [ns29]: cite the data with reference

Comment [ns30]: write down latest data of wheat for India as well as for Bihare state

Comment [ns31]: follow the uniform pattern of writing units as per format of journal

Comment [ns32]: follow the uniform pattern of writing units as per format of journal

Comment [ns33]: ????

Comment [ns34]: important

Comment [ns35]: emphasis

Comment [ns36]: ?????

Comment [ns37]: ?????

Comment [ns38]: Why the number has been written instead of reference

Comment [ns39]: Clarify why number 2 has been written

shortened [6]. Tebuconazole is a triazole fungicide and it is used in agriculture to intercept the plant pathogenic fungi. This fungicide is widely used to treat wheat seeds to prevent diseases caused by *Ustilago* spp., *Tilletia* spp. and *Fusarium* spp. It shows a systemic effect, consisting of penetration of the active substance through the protective tissues of plants. It is a standard in the control of fungal diseases from the genus *Fusarium*, especially those occurring in grain ears [6]. Additionally, tebuconazole is highly efficient against yellow and brown rust. Because of its long half-life, from 49 to 610 days, tebuconazole residues stay in the environment, including the atmosphere, soil, water, fruit and vegetables and cereals [7]. Considering these factors, this investigation was conducted to determine the optimal fertilizer dosage levels in combination with growth regulators to achieve higher wheat yields (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The ultimate goal of this study is to contribute to sustainable and efficient wheat production practices that not only increase yield but also promote nutrient balanced wheat.

Comment [ns40]: ?????

Comment [ns41]: ????

Comment [ns42]: Moreover

Comment [ns43]: ????

Comment [ns44]: Points in view

Comment [ns45]: The experiment

Comment [ns46]: Main objective of experiment

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the research farm of Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour during the rabi season of 2019-20, to investigate the optimal nitrogen doses for high yield potential of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). This research was laid out in a randomized complete block design and the whole field was divided into three blocks each representing the replication in which each replication consists of ten treatments of different combinations. All treatments were allocated randomly in each replication. T₁- control, T₂-50% recommended dose of nitrogenous fertilizer (RDF) i.e. 150 kgN ha⁻¹, T₃- 75% (RDF) of N, T₄- 100% RDF of N, T₅- 125% of N, T₆-150% RDF of N, T₇-100% RDF of NPK i.e. 150:60:40 N:P:K kg ha⁻¹. T₈- 125% RDF of N with PGRs spray (Chloromequat chloride @ 0.2% + Tebuconazole @ 0.1% at 45 and 65 days after sowing (DAS), T₉- 150% RDF of N with PGRs spray (at 45 and 65 DAS) and T₁₀- 150% RDF of NPK with PGRs spray at 45 and 65 DAS. The region receives an average rainfall 1207 mm, precipitating mostly between the middle of June to the middle of October. The experimental plot have sandy loam soil having organic carbon (0.55%) with pH (7.23), available nitrogen (154.11 kg ha⁻¹), available phosphorus (24.45 kg ha⁻¹) and available potassium (184.46 kg ha⁻¹). The experimental plots were prepared by ploughing of the field. Two times crosswise ploughing was done by the power tiller and planking was followed after each ploughing to pulverize the soil. Along with weeds, root stubble and residues of other crop were removed. Land leveling is an essential component of land preparation. Wheat cultivar DBW187 was sown on 25th November 2019 and harvested on 4th April 2020. Wheat seed was sown at a row to row spacing of 20 cm apart @ 100 kg ha⁻¹. The recommended fertilizer dose, i.e., 120:60:40 kg NPK ha⁻¹ was applied according to the treatment. The full amount of Phosphorus and Potassium fertilizer with 1/3rd of Nitrogen fertilizer was given as basal according to treatments. The remaining Nitrogen was applied in two splits, after first irrigation at crown root initiation (CRI) and second irrigation at jointing stage respectively. As the source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, Urea, DAP and MOP were used respectively. Irrigation was given to crop as and when needed according to the crop requirement and rainfall pattern. Two irrigations to an approximate depth of 6 cm were given to the crop at critical stages when water availability is problem, first irrigation at CRI stage and second at flowering stage. When the crop was grown under irrigated conditions receive a total of six irrigations at critical growth

Comment [ns47]:

Comment [ns48]: A field

Comment [ns49]: winter

Comment [ns50]: assess the effect of

Comment [ns51]: the experiment was laid out in randomized block design and replicated thrice.

Comment [ns52]: The experiment comprised of ten treatments viz

Comment [ns53]: Treatment is not clear

Comment [ns54]: What is the relevance of rainfall data with this study

Comment [ns55]: Soil was

Comment [ns56]: In texture

Comment [ns57]: having 0.55 % organic carbon, 7.23pH, 154.11 kg/ha available nitrogen, 24.45 kg/ha available phosphorus and 184.46 kg/ha available potassium

Comment [ns58]: second fortnight of November

Comment [ns59]: using

Comment [ns60]: seed

Comment [ns61]: Fertilizer was applied as per treatment of the experiment

Comment [ns62]: Entire

Comment [ns63]: n

Comment [ns64]: dose

Comment [ns65]: p

Comment [ns66]: the nutrients were supplied through inorganic sources viz. urea, DAP and MOP.

Comment [ns67]: by which method irrigation was applied at a depth of 6 cm

stages. Weed control was achieved through two manual weeding in all treatment plots using a weeding hook as needed. Gap filling was performed ten days after sowing to maintain the required plant population. Biometric observations were recorded at intervals of 15 DAS, 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS, and at the harvest stage.

Comment [ns68]: write down at what stage of wheat crop irrigation was applied

Comment [ns69]: Write down at which stage manual weeding was done to control the weeds

Comment [ns70]: Two manual weeding was done at ____ and ____ days after sowing to keep down the population of weeds.

2.1 Plant Nutrient Analysis Details

Plant sample (straw and grain) were taken at harvesting from each plot for nutrient estimation analysis. samples were oven-dried at 70°C for 48 hours. The plant material thus obtained was grind with the help of a grinder and passed through 40 mesh sieves and preserved separately for determination of N, P, and K content. Total N was analyzed by Kjeldahl method, P was determined through spectrophotometer and potassium was obtained by flame photometer [8]. The nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contenting rain and straw were multiplied by the respective dry matter yield to get uptake (kg ha⁻¹).

Comment [ns71]: .

Comment [ns72]: S

Comment [ns73]: Nitrogen

Comment [ns74]: phosphoruds

Comment [ns75]: ????

Comment [ns76]: estimate

Comment [ns77]: Nutrient uptake by straw

Uptake of NPK by Straw

Nutrient uptake (kg/ha) =
$$\frac{\text{NPK concentration in straw} \times \text{straw yield (kg/ha)}}{100}$$

Uptake of NPK by grain

Nutrient uptake (kg/ha) =
$$\frac{\text{NPK concentration in straw} \times \text{grain yield (kg/ha)}}{100}$$

Comment [ns78]: Nutrient uptake by grain

Total uptake:

Total uptake of N, P and K was calculated for each treatment separately by using the following formula.

Total nitrogen uptake (kg ha⁻¹) = N uptake by grain + N uptake by straw and uptake of N, P and K by wheat plants was expresses in kg ha⁻¹.

2.2. Dry matter accumulations (g/m²)

Plants available in 1m area were removed from each plot for observing dry weight of the crop. These samples were sun-dried and then put in the hot air oven and dried at 70°C for 48 hr. to get the constant dry weight of plants expressed in gram/meter square.

Comment [ns79]: Follow the uniform pattern of writing units as per the format of journal.

Comment [ns80]: Sample plants were cut close to the soil surface and kept in paper bags. The samples were air dried and thereafter shifted in the oven for drying at a temperature of 70°C for 48 hrs. until a constant weight was reached. The dry weight of plant samples was recorded and converted into g/m²

2.3. Yield

2.3.1. Grain yield (q ha⁻¹)

The harvested produce from the net plot area was dried under sun light and threshed to obtain grain in kg/plot⁻¹. Thereafter, it was converted to q ha⁻¹.

Comment [ns81]: The threshed grains of wheat obtained from each plot in all the treatments were sun dried and weighed separately as per the treatment and finally expressed as qha⁻¹

2.3.2. Straw yield (q ha⁻¹)

The straw yield was calculated by subtracting the grain yield from total biological yield and finally it was converted to q ha⁻¹.

Comment [ns82]: The straw yield was worked out by deducting the grain yield from the biological yield obtained from net plot of each treatment and

Comment [ns83]: into

2.3.3. Biological yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$)

From each plot separately crop was harvested, bundled and weighed to get the biological yield in $kg\ ha^{-1}$. Then the total biological yield was converted to $q\ ha^{-1}$.

2.3.4. Harvest Index (%)

It was estimated with the help of grain yield and biological yield, expressed in percentage by using the formula (Donald 1968)

$$HI = \frac{\text{grain yield} \times 100}{\text{biological yield (straw + grain)}}$$

The collected data were subjected to statistical analysis using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique, following the procedure suggested by Panse and Sukhatme. Treatment comparisons are made at 5% levels of significance.

$$CD = S.E.d \times t(0.05) \text{ (edf)}$$

Where,

S.E.m_t = standard error of treatment means

S.E.d_t = standard error of difference between treatment means

C.D = Critical difference

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Growth Attributes

3.1.1. Dry matter accumulation ($g\ m^{-2}$)

The effect of various treatments on accumulation of dry matter on wheat at different growth stages throughout the cultivation period. A perusal of data (fig-1) indicated that dry matter accumulation of wheat increased progressively from vegetative to maturity stage. At 30 days after sowing viz tillering stage significantly higher dry matter accumulation was recorded under the treatment with 150% RDF of NPK and growth regulator, followed by the treatment 100% RDF of NPK. At jointing stage 60 days after sowing, again significantly higher dry matter accumulation was observed with the treatment 150% RDF of NPK and growth regulators and similar trend recorded. At prematurity and maturity stage similar result was also recorded where higher dry matter accumulation was recorded with the treatment 150% RDF of NPK and growth regulators while significantly lower dry matter accumulation was recorded in treatment where no fertilizers were added at different growth stages of wheat from tillering to maturity. Dry matter accumulation is the increase in the dry weight of a plant at a certain time and is influenced by a combination of factors including internal and external systems as well as dry matter. Accumulation is the cumulative effect of all growth traits, namely plant height, number of shoots and green leaves. Dry weight of the above ground parts at harvest significantly higher with increased nitrogen levels and maximum in treatment with the application of 150% RDF of NPK and growth regulator. Improved dry matter production (DMP) with

Comment [ns84]: the harvesting index is the ratio of economic yield to the biological yield and thus has been computed as economic yield (grain yield) divided by biological yield (Grain + Straw) and expressed in per cent.

Comment [ns85]:

Comment [ns86]: The data recorded during the course of investigation were subjected to statistical analysis using analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique for randomized block design as prescribed by Gomez and Gomez, 1984. Standard error of mean in each case was calculated at 5% levels of probability

Comment [ns87]: Cropping season

Comment [ns88]: Which was closely followed with

Comment [ns89]: Write down the results of wheat crop at different stages altogether

Comment [ns90]: Higher

increased application of nutrients was owing to the function of NPK in influencing and efficient use of sunlight via enhanced biological and insufficiency of nitrogen decreases the sunlight utilize efficiency or the facility to photosynthesise as already reported [9]. This might be due to adequate supply of nutrients allowed the plant tissue to grow large and increase the chlorophyll formation and stimulated rapid rate of photosynthetic activity, consequently recorded ~~more~~ dry matter accumulation in comparison to its lower level ~~this observation was recorded by~~ [10]. [11] reported that dry matter accumulation and translocation are closely related to the yield and N application significantly affects its accumulation and translocation [12]. Similar results observed by [13] on winter wheat ~~he reported that the increase in N application~~, the dry matter accumulation in winter wheat increased gradually from the sowing stage to the jointing stage; ~~it increased first and then remained constant from the jointing stage to the anthesis stage, and increased and then decreased from the anthesis stage to the maturity stage.~~

Comment [ns91]: ????

Comment [ns92]: higher

Comment [ns93]: ????

Comment [ns94]: ????

Comment [ns95]: revealed

Comment [ns96]: doses of nitrogen




Fig-1-Effect of nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on ~~Dry~~ dry matter accumulation (g m⁻²) of wheat at different growth stages

Comment [ns97]: d

3.2. Yield (q ha⁻¹)

Data presented in (table-1) revealed that grain yield, straw yield, biological index and harvesting index increased significantly with increasing nitrogen levels despite the application of growth regulators over control, ~~maximum~~ grain yield, straw yield and biological yield was recorded ~~in treatment with application of~~ 150% RDF of NPK along with Chloromequat chloride + Tebuconazole (51.71, 65.38 and 117.09 q/ha ~~respectively~~) found statistically at par with ~~treatment T₇~~ (100 % RDF of NPK). While Harvest index ~~was found maximum in treatment T₈ and T₉ in which~~ 125% and 150% RDF of N with ~~growth regulators~~ were applied. This might be due to improvement in growth and yield attributing characters and higher photosynthetic activity. It is well-known fact that higher levels of fertilizer application enhance soil fertility and nutrient supply capacity. This increased fertility leads to improved nutrient uptake, ~~benefiting~~ plant growth and yield characteristics, ultimately ~~resulting in greater~~ grain and straw yields. These findings align with the research of [14] and [15].

Comment [ns98]: Significantly higher

Comment [ns99]: Which was

Comment [ns100]: S

Comment [ns101]: Significantly higher

Comment [ns102]: Recorded with

Comment [ns103]: Specify with which growth regulators

Comment [ns104]: enhanced

Comment [ns105]: resulted in higher

Comment [ns106]: ????

Table: 1. Effect of nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on yield parameter of wheat.

Treatment		Yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Hi
		Grain	Straw	Biological	
T ₁	Control	28.15	39.45	67.60	0.42
T ₂	50% RDF of N	32.97	43.33	76.30	0.43
T ₃	75% RDF of N	36.74	46.79	83.53	0.44
T ₄	100% RDF of N	38.96	50.58	89.55	0.44
T ₅	125% RDF of N	40.19	53.68	93.87	0.43
T ₆	150% RDF of N	41.45	53.30	94.75	0.44
T ₇	100% RDF of NPK	49.23	63.85	113.07	0.44
T ₈	125% RDF of N with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	43.06	52.02	95.08	0.45
T ₉	150% RDF of N with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	44.41	55.43	99.84	0.45
T ₁₀	150% RDF of NPK with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	51.71	65.38	117.09	0.44
S.E.m.±		1.29	1.48	2.45	0.01
CD (p=0.05)		3.84	4.40	7.27	0.02

Comment [ns107]: (%)

Comment [ns108]: SEm(±)

Comment [ns109]: (5%)

3.3. Nutrient Content

3.3.1. Nitrogen content in grain and straw

The presented data revealed (Table-2) that the nitrogen content in both grain and straw of wheat was non-significantly influenced by the application of different doses of fertilizers and growth regulators. However, maximum nitrogen content %. Maximum nitrogen content was obtained in treatment T₁ (1.704 %) while minimum in treatment T₇ (1.644 %). Similarly Nitrogen content in straw was found maximum in treatment T₁ (0.745%) and minimum in treatment T₇ (0.673 %).

3.3.2. Phosphorus content in grain and straw

The presented data (Table-2) indicates that different levels of nitrogen fertilizer along with growth regulators did not influence the phosphorus content in grain as well as in straw of wheat significantly. Higher amount of phosphorus content in grain and straw was observed in with Control and lowest (0.336%) in T₆.

3.3.3. Potassium content in grain and straw in grain and straw was recorded in control. The range of nitrogen content in grain was found 1.644 % to 1.704

The data indicate (Table-2) that different doses of nitrogen fertilizer and growth regulators were found ineffective to influence the potassium content significantly in grain and straw of wheat. K content in grain was recorded maximum in control (0.429%) whereas minimum content of potassium was recorded in treatment T₅ (0.354%). Similarly, potassium content in straw was recorded maximum in control (1.437%) and minimum in treatment T₅ (1.377%).

Thus, plant growth regulators had no discernible effect on the available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium concentration in soil after harvest. Although the similar pattern of effect of different treatment doses of nitrogen and growth regulators on grain and straw was observed, where, significantly maximum NPK uptake was attributed to crop response of generating higher grain yield and biomass in the treatments which ultimately contributed to higher total uptake by wheat plant. [16] also reported the similar kind of findings. [1] refuted these results, suggesting that the increased nitrogen uptake was likely due to improved soil conditions, which enhanced root growth and plant nutrient demand, allowing the plants to access nutrients from a larger area and greater depth. Among the various spray treatments, the highest NPK uptake was observed with 150% of the recommended NPK doses combined with growth regulators. The impact of growth retardants on wheat growth, biochemical composition, yield, and its components showed that applying Cycocel and Folcur resulted in better root growth, higher nutrient uptake, and increased protein content compared to the control.

Comment [ns110]: Write down the results of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in grain and straw of wheat altogether

Table:-2. Effects of Nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on N, P and K content in grain and straw of wheat.

Treatment		Nutrient content (%)					
		Nitrogen		Phosphorus		Potassium	
		Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
T ₁	Control	1.704	0.745	0.356	0.155	0.429	1.437
T ₂	50% RDF of N	1.694	0.721	0.354	0.154	0.415	1.430
T ₃	75% RDF of N	1.688	0.711	0.351	0.151	0.401	1.411
T ₄	100% RDF of N	1.666	0.709	0.344	0.146	0.389	1.406
T ₅	125% RDF of N	1.665	0.695	0.336	0.139	0.354	1.377
T ₆	150% RDF of N	1.658	0.692	0.334	0.136	0.355	1.381
T ₇	100% RDF of NPK	1.644	0.673	0.352	0.151	0.410	1.413
T ₈	125% RDF of N with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	1.655	0.699	0.340	0.138	0.366	1.382
T ₉	150% RDF of N with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	1.654	0.706	0.337	0.136	0.361	1.385
T ₁₀	150% RDF of NPK with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2%	1.649	0.698	0.347	0.146	0.418	1.424

	and Tebuconazole 0.1%)					
S.Em.±	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.005	0.02	0.02
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Comment [ns111]: SEm(±)

Comment [ns112]: (5%)

3.4. Nutrient Uptake

3.4.1. Nitrogen uptake by grain and straw

The study of nutrient uptake by grain and straw of wheat revealed significant difference (fig-2) among the different doses of nitrogen fertilizer and growth regulators. The higher uptake of nitrogen had observed by grain as compared to straw with the application of 150% recommended doses of fertilizer NPK and growth regulator followed by 100% recommended doses of fertilizer of NPK. Significantly higher uptake of nitrogen by grain, straw and total uptake was obtained with the treatment 150% RDF of NPK and growth regulator. Significantly the minimum value of nitrogen uptake was recorded in treatment where fertilizer was not added.

Comment [ns113]: Which was closely

Comment [ns114]: With

Comment [ns115]: lowest




Fig-2-Effect of nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on nitrogen uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by grain and straw of wheat

3.4.2. Phosphorus uptake by grain and straw

The (fig-3) related to phosphorus uptake under the various level of nitrogen fertilizer and growth regulators revealed that phosphorus uptake by grain was maximum with application of 150% recommended dose of fertilizer NPK and growth regulator which was significantly higher than other treatments and significantly lower value was observed in control. Similar to the grain, in case of straw also maximum amount of phosphorus uptake was found with treatment of 150% RDF of NPK with growth regulator and total uptake also followed the similar trend of phosphorus uptake. While minimum amount of phosphorus was recorded in control treatment where no fertilizers were added.




Fig-3-Effect of nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on phosphorus uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by grain and straw of wheat

3.4.3. Potassium uptake by grain and straw

The data revealed (fig-4) that potassium content in both grain and straw was significantly influenced by the application of different doses of fertilizers. Significantly the highest value of potassium uptake was gained by grain, straw and total with the treatment of 150% RDF of NPK with growth regulator followed by treatment with 100% RDF of NPK, while lowest value of potassium uptake was recorded in control.

Treatments with increased nitrogen uptake were due to improved timing and distribution of nitrogen fertilizer applications throughout the season, which resulted in increased and uniform total nitrogen availability throughout the growing season, increased biomass, and increased accumulation of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in the plants. **Nutrient uptake generally follows a yield model. Essential** nutrients are involved in plant metabolism, so the amount of nutrient absorbed per unit volume of biomass production determines yield. The increase in phosphorus absorption was due to the significant increase in phosphorus that can be accessed on the soil. This is due to the highest accessibility of a higher dose, and deep penetration should contribute to the absorption of more nutrients in the root sphere. The absorption of potassium wheat has increased significantly compared to the maximum accessories of potassium for plant absorption. The low content and absorption of N, P, and K were observed under absolute control of insufficient supply and nutrients. Similar results were reported by [17], [18], [19], [20] also reported that nitrogen application increases soil N content and provides sufficient nutrients to the aboveground part of the plant, thus promoting N uptake in winter wheat.

Comment [ns116]: write down experiment results with respect to nutrient uptake by wheat crop altogether

Comment [ns117]: Major

Comment [ns118]: ????




Fig-4-Effect of nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on potassium uptake (kg ha^{-1}) by grain and straw of wheat

3.5. Soil Analysis

3.5.1. Available nitrogen

Data revealed (Table-3) that the significant effect of fertilizer doses on the available nitrogen content of the soil after the harvest of wheat crop showed significant highest available nitrogen content with the 150% recommended doses of NPK treatment followed by 150% recommended doses of nitrogen fertilizer with growth regulator. Significantly the minimum value of available nitrogen was observed in the treatment in which no fertilizer was applied. The differences in available nitrogen content in soil after the harvest of wheat were due to different quantities of nitrogen applied in soil.

3.5.2 Available phosphorus

The presented data revealed (Table-3) that available phosphorus status in the soil after the harvest of wheat was significantly influenced by various levels of nitrogen fertilizer and growth regulators. The higher value of available phosphorus was found in treatment with 150% recommended dose of NPK with growth regulator followed by 100% recommended dose of NPK and control in that order, each treatment differ significantly from one another. The variations in the available phosphorus content of soil were a result of the varying concentrations of phosphorus that were incorporated into the soil.

3.5.3 Available potassium

The available potassium content (Table-3) in soil recorded after the harvest of wheat crop was also influenced significantly by various levels of nitrogen fertilizer and plant growth regulators. Significantly highest value was recorded with the application of 150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulator followed by 100% recommended doses of NPK. Significantly minimum value available potassium content was recorded in 150% of recommended doses of nitrogen. The difference were due to the difference quantities of potassium applied to soil, its uptake in different treatments and its subject to losses. Thus it was concluded that no significant effect of growth regulators on available nitrogen, phosphorus and

potassium content in soil after the harvest of wheat crop. Similar observations were recorded [21] their finding suggested that significantly higher value of available NPK in soil when the wheat crop was fertilized with the higher doses of fertilizer (120:60:60) as compared to other doses.

Table:3.Effect of nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on the nutrient status of soil after the harvest of wheat.

Treatment		Available nutrient in soil (kg ha ⁻¹)		
		Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
T ₁	Control	138.81	22.43	175.41
T ₂	50% RDF of N	145.20	22.36	175.00
T ₃	75% RDF of N	150.01	23.29	177.22
T ₄	100% RDF of N	156.52	22.11	176.44
T ₅	125% RDF of N	162.67	22.24	177.02
T ₆	150% RDF of N	167.16	23.50	174.04
T ₇	100% RDF of NPK	157.38	25.87	187.17
T ₈	125% RDF of N with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	164.34	22.25	176.28
T ₉	150% RDF of N with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	169.16	22.38	175.22
T ₁₀	150% RDF of NPK with PGR (Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%)	171.82	27.01	192.27
S.Em.±		3.7	0.53	1.73
CD (p=0.05)		11.08	1.58	5.13

Growth regulators: Chlormequat chloride 0.2% and Tebuconazole 0.1%

Comment [ns119]: Write down the results of available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium altogether

4. Conclusion

Nitrogen one of the most important nutrients required for crops growth and development and it influence wheat significantly in growth, yield and nutrients uptake by wheat plants. Increasing levels of nitrogen increased wheat growth characteristics, yield characteristics such as grain yield (kg/ha), straw yield (kg/ha), biological index (kg/ha) and harvesting index and nutrients uptake i.e. nitrogen uptake in seed and uptake of nutrients and maximum amount of available N, P and K (171.82, 27.01 and 192.27 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in treatment T₁₀ (150% RDF of NPK along with chlormequat chloride 0.2% + tebuconazole 0.1%). Nutrient management with growth regulators in wheat, economically viable and ecologically sound option to extend these benefits of balanced nutrition in enhancing both crop production as well as soil fertility.

Comment [ns120]: REWRite the conclusion again in 3-4 lines

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Acknowledgments

The authors are highly thankful to the researchers associated with the research farm of Bihar Agricultural University Sabour unit. We gladly acknowledged the financial support provided by the Department of Agronomy and the Post Graduate Research Contingency at the Bihar Agricultural College, BAU, Sabour.

References

1. Kishore A, Singh V. Seeds, Water and Markets to Wheat Productivity in Bihar, India. USAID FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. CSISA Research Note-19. (2021); p1-7.
2. Kumbhare R, Jha AK, Anjana G, Patel R, Tekam Y. Combination of Fertilizer and Growth Regulators Impact on Nutrient Balance in Wheat Crop (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. (2023);35(22):823-32.
3. Tiwari RK, Dwived BS, Deshmukh G, Pandey AK, Jha Amit. Effect of weed control treatments on growth of little seed canary grass and productivity of wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. (2011);43(3 & 4):239-240.
4. Yang WP, Hu XQ, Wu DF. Effect of Tianda2116 on Matter Distribution and Yield of Winter Wheat. *Journal of Henan Agricultural Sciences*. (2006);2(1):20-25.
5. Rajala A, Peltonen-Sainio P. Plant growth regulator effects on spring cereal root and shoot growth. *Agronomy Journal*. (2001);93: 936-943.
6. Thabit TM, Abdelkareem EM, Bouqellah NA, Shokr SA. Triazole fungicide residues and their inhibitory effect on some trichothecenes mycotoxin excretion in wheat grains. *Molecules*. (2021);26(6):1784.
7. Hrynko I, Kaczyński P, Wolejko E, Łozowicka B. Impact of technological processes on tebuconazole reduction in selected cereal species and the primary cereal product, and dietary exposure assessment. *Food Chemistry*. (2023);422:136249.
8. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis Prentice hall of India. (1973);(Pvt.)
9. Panchal B H, Patel V K, Patel K P and Khimani R A. Effect of biofertilizers, organic manures and chemical fertilizers on microbial population, yield and yield attributes and quality of sweetcorn (*Zea mays* L. *Saccharata*) cv. Madhuri. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* (2018); 7(9): 2423–31.
10. Ahmad A, Ali A, Syed WH, Khaliq T, Asif M, Aziz M. Effect of nitrogen on growth and yield component of wheat. *Science International*. (2011); 23:331-332.
11. Jiang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Zhao, L. Effects of Nitrogen Application on Dry Matter Accumulation, Transport and Yield in Different Wheat Varieties. *Crops* (2019); 5, 151–158.
12. Demotes-Mainard, S.; Jeuffroy, M.H. Effects of nitrogen and radiation on dry matter and nitrogen accumulation in the spike of winter wheat. *Field Crops Res*. (2004); 87, 221–233.

13. Li X, Noor H, Noor F, Ding P, Sun M, & Gao, Z. Effect of Soil Water and Nutrient Uptake on Nitrogen Use Efficiency, and Yield of Winter Wheat, *Agronomy*. (2024);14(4), 819.
14. Wang Q, Zhao X, Wu C, Wu L, Xu H, Zhang R. Application techniques of bispyribac-sodium for controlling weeds in direct seeded rice fields. *Acta Agriculturae Zhejiangensis*. (2000);12(6):338-34.
15. Siddiqui AN, Akhter MN, Hossain MA, Sultana M, Ahmed B Effect of nitrogen levels on yield parameters of wheat. *Bangladesh Res. Pub. J.* (2013); 8(1):01-06.
16. Rahman MA, Sarker MAZ, Amin MF, Jahan HS, Akhter MM . Yield response and nitrogen use efficiency of wheat under different doses and split application of nitrogen fertilizer. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*. (2011);36(2):231-240.
17. Wuest SB, Cassman KG. Fertilizer nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated wheat: 1. Uptake efficiency of preplant versus late-season application. *Agronomy Journal*. (1992);84:682-688.
18. Khurana HS, Phillips SB, Singh B, Alley MM, Dobermann A, Sidhu AS, *et al.* Agronomic and economic evaluation of site-specific nutrient management for irrigated wheat in northwest India. *Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystem*. (2008);82:15-31.
19. Mohanty SK, Jat SI, Parihar CM, Singh AK, Sharma S, Saveipune, *et al.* Precision nitrogen management practices in wheat influences nutrient uptake and their use efficiency and fertility status of soil under conservation agriculture. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. (2016);37(3):282-289.
20. Nikhil Kumar B. Precision nitrogen management in irrigated Wheat (*Triticum dicoccum* L.) using optical sensor. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka; (2019).
21. Jat LK, Singh SK, Latore A, Singh RS. Effect of dates of sowing and fertilizer o growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in an inceptisol of Varanasi. *Indian journal of agronomy*. (2014);58(4):611-614.

Comment [ns121]: Rewrite this section as per the format of journal