

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125318
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Various Nitrogen Doses and Plant Growth Regulators on Nutrient Uptake and Grain Yield of Wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study of the effects of various nitrogen doses and plant growth regulators on wheat is important for optimizing nutrient uptake and improving grain yield. Proper nitrogen management enhances plant growth, while growth regulators influence physiological processes, contributing to more efficient nutrient use. This research helps in sustainable agriculture by maximizing yield potential and minimizing environmental impacts.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>No</b> Effect of nitrogen and plant growth regulators on nutrient uptake and productivity of wheat	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here</b>	The abstract of the article is comprehensive, but the corrections marked in the manuscript should be incorporated to enhance clarity and provide meaningful understanding.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Appropriate</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Treatment details of the experiment is not clear viz., how much nitrogen is applied for 100%,125% and 150% RDF. Clarify why the reference has been cite in the text of manuscript in vancouver form . Follow the style of writing manuscript as per the format of the journal. Conclusion section has been written keeping in view main objective of the study.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	<b>Sufficient</b> Gomez and Gomez, 1984 Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984) Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp: 680	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article appear generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are some areas that could be improved for clarity, conciseness and readability will further improve its quality	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript requires thorough revision. The correction marked in the text of manuscript should be incorporated for the improvement of the quality of the manuscript. -	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neetu Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SKUAST, India</b>