

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125299
Title of the Manuscript:	From Field to Market: The Challenges Facing Onion Growers in Chitradurga, Karnataka
Type of the Article	paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the paper because it is well structured and detailed, including the purpose. It is interesting that the exact challenges faced by farmers were identified through interview research methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well worded.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the manuscript has a solid scientific basis using the interview method and including several sections highlighting financial, marketing and production aspects. Also, the fact that the respondents were asked to classify certain aspects, so that a conclusion can be drawn related to the difficulty encountered, gives an added importance to the manuscript. Also, the paper has a section related to suggestions where opinions and suggestions for improving the sector could be extracted directly from farmers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	I do not think that the work has enough bibliographic references, I suggest at least 30 references so that the introduction section can be improved. Suggestions: Dumitru, E. A., Berevoianu, R. L., Tudor, V. C., Teodorescu, F. R., Stoica, D., Giucă, A., ... & Sterie, C. M. (2023). Climate Change impacts on Vegetable crops: a systematic review. <i>Agriculture</i> , 13(10), 1891. S Patil, Y., MK, A. K., Gaddi, G. M., & Bonigala, D. (2024). Resource Use Pattern, Efficiency and Constraints in Onion Production: A Special Reference to Haveri District, Karnataka, India. <i>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</i> , 30(7), 563-570. Vijayrao, T. S. (2024). STUDIES ON BASAL ROOT OF ONION (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) (Doctoral dissertation, MAHATMA PHULE KRISHI VIDYAPEETH).	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sterie Maria Cristina
Department, University & Country	Academy of Economic Sciences from Bucharest, Romania