

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125299
Title of the Manuscript:	From Field to Market: The Challenges Facing Onion Growers in Chitradurga, Karnataka
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript focuses on onion cultivation in India, specifically examining the challenges faced by onion farmers in the Chitradurga district of Karnataka. The study emphasizes the economic importance of horticultural crops, particularly onions, in India, which is the second-largest producer globally. Focusing on onion farmers in Chitradurga district, Karnataka, the research identifies key challenges such as limited nutrient management knowledge, market price fluctuations, and credit access issues. The farmers suggest implementing minimum support prices and timely credit provisions to alleviate these challenges. The study emphasizes both production, marketing and financial constraints as faced by the Onion growers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Alternative title : From Field to Market: The Challenges Faced by Onion Growers in Chitradurga, Karnataka	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It should be precise and adhere to the methodologies and findings of the study. Botanical description should be avoided in the abstract part.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound however , the methodology part needs to be revised by adding sampling methods used, formulas used etc. Big farmers should be replaced by large farmers in entire manuscript. Arrange the manuscript as per journal guidelines like fonts, appropriate reference structures etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Collect some recent references and add more number of references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Manuscripts contain grammatical errors which can be corrected by using software like grammarly..	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ansuman Satapathy
Department, University & Country	Siksha "O" Anusandhan Deemed to be University, India