

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124901
Title of the Manuscript:	Entomopathogenic nematodes as bioindicator of soil health
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are very few quality articles emphasizing entomopathogenic nematodes. The studies in this area of agriculture are still very sparse and the manuscript on the topic can serve to provide insightful information.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive but plagiarised from "Nair, P. (2016). Evaluation of soil biological agents as indicators of soil health. Journal of Agriculture Biotechnology, 1(1), 9-15." and "Lu, Q., Liu, T., Wang, N., Dou, Z., Wang, K., & Zuo, Y. (2020). A review of soil nematodes as biological indicators for the assessment of soil health. Front. Agric. Sci. Eng, 7, 275-281."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The sentences are scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is only one recent reference and the rest are from 2000's.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, the language is suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Mahtab Rashid
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India