

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124689
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bio Assay of new generation Insecticides against Invasive Southeast Asian thrips, Thrips Parvispinus (Karny) (Thripidae:Thysanoptera)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has relevant scientific information that should be disseminated to farmers. It has extensively (11 pesticides) probed available synthetics available against the pest and has brought out the findings of their efficacy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In the literature review we have a lot of information on chili, are you just concerned with bio-assays of Southeast Asian thrips or are you having an objective to control Southeast Asian thrips affecting chili? If so, then : Bio Assay of new generation Insecticides against Invasive Southeast Asian thrips, Thrips Parvispinus (Karny) (Thripidae:Thysanoptera) infesting chili.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. However, there is room for improvements on the methodology, please indicate the number of replications, were there replication? Please indicate this information on the methodology too.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are okay.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has room for few improvements- Please indicate the common name/trade name followed by active ingredient and lastly the IRAC code, of the 11 insecticides used example being Thiodan© (hexachlorohexahydromethano-2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin 3-oxide, IRAC code 2: A)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	DID YOU COME UP WITH THIS CORRECTION? {Corrected mortality = (Test mortality (%) - Control Mortality (%)) / (100 - Control mortality (%)).} THIS IS THE ABBOTS CORRECTION FORMULA? PLEASE STATE SO.  Say something about replications	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Emmanuel M. Onamu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nairobi, Kenya</b>