

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124623
Title of the Manuscript:	Instability analysis and decomposition of output of major oilseed crops in Rajasthan and India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In light of the nation's high dependency on edible oil imports, research pertaining to oilseed production in India is crucial. Being a largely rainfed crop, oilseed production is plagued with high instability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest an alternate title for the author's consideration: 'Instability Analysis of Oilseeds Production in Rajasthan vis-a-vis India'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is appropriate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology used is well referenced and has a sound scientific base. The results presented also corroborate with previous studies conducted in the region.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References seem to be appropriate and sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are a few typo errors in the manuscript. While writing the formulae, subscripts and superscripts should be carefully written. In section 3.1.2, the name of the author cited in text should be capitalised.  Other things are satisfactory.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anjali Tiwari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Agricultural Economics and Policy Research, India</b>