

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_124176
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilinguality: An Illusive Concept
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I like this manuscript because the researcher's thorough research is significant in the fields of psychology and education, since evidence reveals that bilingualism can boost cognitive abilities such as memory, problem solving, reasoning, planning, and general cognitive flexibility. In recent years, scientists have begun to investigate what happens in the brains of bilingual or multilingual people, and they have discovered some evidence that speaking multiple languages may have cognitive benefits, such as improving people's executive function abilities and slowing the progression of age-related problems.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The term 'Bilingualism' lacks consensus, and without a clear definition, the language sciences and related disciplines have developed multiple descriptions and interpretations. By neglecting to actively explore and gather additional information regarding a range of participant linguistic characteristics, researcher assumptions can lead to results that do not accurately reflect the similarities and differences between monolinguals and bilinguals. However, researchers disagree on how to define bilingualism, identify bilingual persons, allocate participants to bilingual or monolingual groups, and create universal criteria for language research. So the title of the article is apt in my opinion.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>It is suggested to make little change in abstract conclusion that how emerging research has a need to create universal criteria for language research based on the medical implications referred in the field of bilingualism and how these implications influence the field of psychology and education.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>In subsections it is suggested to focus little on Why is a Definition of Bilingualism important and further how will a bilingual's languages influence their identity?</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I appreciate the researcher of the study for the employed objective assessments of English vocabulary and semantics, While this technique is useful, but it does not give a clear definition of bilingualism. In recent years, emerging research has also indicated that bilingualism has medical implications, such as the slowing of the cognitive aging and lessen severe aphasia. So in an area such as this, we have to study where precision is essential, successful research will be dependent on having a clear set of definition for degrees of bilingualism and the means by which people can be measured against those. So the researchers working in this field need to be able to categorise the type of bilingualism applicable to their subjects.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>The level of skill required for bilinguals to have an impact on their identity appears to have been overlooked in the literature and it deserves additional research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Revise and resubmit .</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhavi Edupulapati
Department, University & Country	Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering & Technology, India