

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125628
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Scarcity : Performance of Groundnut under Resource Constraints
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Generally groundnut is grown as a rainfed crop and also on marginal and sub marginal land. Even though it's a high cash yielding crop, non adoption of sound agronomic management practices affects the crop productivity. Hence selection of this research topic and plan of work was good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. However, proper conclusion may be given in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Some More justification is needed. Hence authors are requested add some references both in introduction and results and discussion	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. However, Typographical and some grammatical errors to be rectified in the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors are requested to give a clear conclusion. 2. Background of the research problem may be highlighted well and the influence of weeds, fertilizers and plant protections may be highlighted in introduction. 3. Authors are requested to mention the soil nutrients availability status for NPK (as Low/medium/high) in materials and methods and also sulphur application if any. 4. Authors mentioned T1 is full complete pack of practice. Hence they are requested to state it in materials and methods. 5. In the abstract T1 was given as complete pack of practice but in materials and methods it was given as Full package as per recommendation. Authors are requested to maintain uniformity throughout the text. 6. Under results and discussion, Authors focused more on weeds than fertilizers and plant protection. Hence they are requested to justify the results by including the impact of non fertilization and plant protections measures 7. Authors are requested to follow standard format/maintain uniformity for giving references in the text. 8. Under conclusion, Authors mentioned appropriate nutrient management, regular weed control and timely plant protection is imperative to achieve highest productivity in <i>kharif</i> groundnut. Hence the above management practices to be given in materials and methods. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>S. Jawahar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Dr. M. S. Swaminathan Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</p>