

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125250
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Shelf life on Quality of Cocoa Butter Extracted Using Screw Press-type Cocoa Butter Extractor
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically, industrially, and commercially important as it defines the longevity of cocoa butter on shelves. This presents experimental evidences as a reference point for the expiry of cocoa butter and cocoa butter products. The manuscript is concise and well defined for easy comprehension. The methodology is well defined and precise.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough to pass for publication. Nonetheless, these few observations must be taken care of. 1. ANOVA/t-test are statistical tools and not a study design. 2. The place and duration of study does not seem relevant in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are well structured. Yet, one of the figures, fig 4, should be well aligned with the rest of the figures.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific accuracy of the manuscript is not in doubt as it complies with rules of scientific writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and a few of them recent enough. In general, it is good to be accepted.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good to add to the scientific community. It adds to the already existing knowledge and reemphasises shelf life stress and its effects on cocoa butter. This is very useful and must be in the known to the scientific community and the general public as a whole.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Eshun
Department, University & Country	Klintaps College of Health and Allied Sciences, Ghana