

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124613
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of various plant spacing configurations on Blackgram cultivars' productivity and profitability (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for scientific community. Spacing in blackgram is one of the most important factor affecting the yield so finding appropriate spacing for particular variety is a must.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not arranged in proper manner, starting with the word "Influence" may be avoid. It may be written as "Productivity and profitability of Blackgram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) cultivars as influenced by various spacings".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article has to be written again. It is not reflecting with the title of the manuscript i.e. not showing the result of spacing anywhere instead emphasising on varieties. Also the values of the findings has to be indicated for the supportive finding otherwise does not carry any meaning.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections of the manuscript is found appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientific however the way of writing or presentation may be modified to make it more presentable in a scientific manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are old and should be checked thoroughly before sending for publication. Major corrections have to be done in the reference section	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is suitable however minor correction like spellings and Grammer may be checked and rectified.	
Optional/General comments	It can be seen from the article that the research work was done during the year 2015. It has been 9 years from now so I doubt whether the article more than 5 years can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khumlo Levish Chongloi
Department, University & Country	ICAR-RC for NEH Region Manipur Centre, India