

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123769
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A review on influence of climate change on agronomic practices and crop adaptation strategies</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Overall, the paper is well-written as a non-academic article rather than as an academic one. My main concern is that a review paper typically requires extensive references. In your paper, the discussion seems to focus more on the topic itself rather than providing a comprehensive review with extensive citations. The paper does not fully cover the discussions and differing viewpoints among researchers in the field. I noticed a pattern where each section relies on only one source. Is this approach appropriate? As a reader of a review paper, I expect to see a thorough discussion of previous studies, not just the presentation of knowledge without supporting evidence. The paper appears lacking in this regard.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is clear and concise, but it sounds a bit tedious. Perhaps some improvements could be made to make it more engaging. You might consider using a colon in the title, for example, '...: A Review Study.' But, if you prefer to keep the original title, that is perfectly acceptable.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>-</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>-</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>So far, I'm satisfied, but could you enhance it by adding current climate change data? Also, could you provide data on how much the agriculture industry contributes to climate change, specifically in terms of greenhouse gas emissions? It would be even more interesting if there were data showing each industry's contribution to climate change. As a reader, I would be curious to know how critical agriculture's contribution to greenhouse gas emissions is. These scientific evidence could be discuss in the section of 'Global Climate Patterns and Agriculture'.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :</p>	<p>Out of 57 references, 33 are not from articles published within the last 5 years. As a reader, I might be reluctant to read your paper because the references are not up-to-date and may not accurately reflect the current situation. Given that your discussion focuses on climate change and its impact on current agriculture, it is crucial to include more recent references. Ideally, at least about 10% of your references should be from sources older than 5 years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>-</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This paper is still far from being considered a review paper. You need to work harder on reviewing the literature, not just copying findings from other reviews but synthesizing their results and aligning them with your study's scope. A thorough synthesis of existing research is crucial for a comprehensive review.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kartini Wan Mat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Malaysia</b>